The étale fundamental group

Wouter Zomervrucht, December 9, 2014

1. Topology

Let *X* be a connected topological space. Let $x \in X$ be a point. An important invariant of (X, x) is the *(topological) fundamental group*

$$\pi(X, x) := \{ \text{loops } x \rightsquigarrow x \text{ in } X \} / \simeq.$$

It can also be described in terms of covers. A *cover* of *X* is a map $p: Y \to X$ such that every point $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ with $p^{-1}(U) \cong U \times p^{-1}(x)$ as spaces over *U* (endowing $p^{-1}(x)$ with the discrete topology). A cover $Y \to X$ is *universal* if *Y* is simply connected. In this case $\pi(X, x) = \operatorname{Aut}_X Y$.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose X admits a universal cover. Then the functor

$$\operatorname{Cov} X \to \pi(X, x)$$
-Set, $p \mapsto p^{-1}(x)$

is an equivalence.

Theorem 1.2. There is a profinite group π , unique up to isomorphism, such that

FCov *X*
$$\approx \pi$$
-FSet.

If X admits a universal cover, then π is isomorphic to the profinite completion $\hat{\pi}(X, x)$.

All data in this theorem can be made functorial in (X, x).

Example 1.3. The circle S¹ has fundamental group $\pi(S^1, x) = \mathbb{Z}$. It has the universal cover $\mathbb{R} \to S^1$, $t \mapsto \exp 2\pi i t$, with automorphism group generated by the shift $t \mapsto t + 1$. In the setting of theorem 1.2, suppose *A* is a transitive finite \mathbb{Z} -set. Then $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and it corresponds to the finite cover $\mathbb{R}/n\mathbb{Z} \to S^1$, $t \mapsto \exp 2\pi i t$.

2. Algebraic geometry

Let *X* be a connected scheme. Let $x \in X$ be a point. The topological fundamental group $\pi(X, x)$ is not a useful invariant, due to the Zariski topology. As usual, the correct notion of a covering in algebraic geometry is an étale map. Then theorem 1.2 has the following analogue.

Theorem 2.1. There is a profinite group π , unique up to isomorphism, such that

FEt
$$X \approx \pi$$
-FSet.

٠

Given a geometric point \bar{x} of X, we can define π and the equivalence functorially in (X, \bar{x}) . It is the *étale fundamental group* $\pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x})$.

Often $\pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x})$ is the desired analogue of the topological fundamental group. This can be seen for instance in the complex case: if X is a connected complex variety and x a closed point, then $\pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x}) = \hat{\pi}(X^{\text{an}}, x)$.

Example 2.2. Let *X* be the complex projective line with 0 and ∞ identified. Its analytification is the Riemann sphere S² with two points identified, hence $\pi(X^{an}, x) = \mathbb{Z}$. We get $\pi^{\text{et}}(X, \bar{x}) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$. In the setting of theorem 2.1, suppose $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is a transitive finite $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -set. It corresponds to the finite étale *X*-scheme consisting of *n* copies of \mathbb{P}^1 , where 0 in the *i*th copy is identified with ∞ in the $(i + 1)^{\text{st}}$ copy, cyclically.

Remark 2.3. In the preceding example, there is a natural 'universal' étale X-scheme, with automorphism group \mathbb{Z} . It would be nice if one could actually detect this. This 'defect' is repaired by the *pro-étale fundamental group*, to be introduced next week.

3. Galois theory

The formalism behind theorems 1.2 and 2.1 is a type of Galois theory. It is used to classify categories of the form π -FSet for some profinite group π .

Definition 3.1. Let C be a category and $F: C \to FSet$ a functor. Then C is a *Galois category* with *fundamental functor* F if

- ▶ *C* has finite limits and colimits,
- ▶ any map $f: X \to Y$ in C can be written as $f = m \circ e$ with e an epimorphism and m a monomorphism onto a direct summand of Y, and
- ► *F* is exact and conservative.

Example 3.2. Let π be a profinite group. Then π -FSet with the forgetful functor π -FSet \rightarrow FSet is a Galois category. (We will see that, up to equivalence, this is the only example.)

Let (\mathcal{C}, F) be a Galois category. Consider the automorphism group Aut *F*. Endowing each finite permutation group S(F(X)) with the discrete topology, the subgroup Aut $F \subseteq \prod_{X \in \mathcal{C}} S(F(X))$ is closed. In fact Aut *F* is profinite. The action of Aut *F* on each F(X) is continuous. So we get a functor $\mathcal{C} \to \text{Aut } F$ -FSet.

Theorem 3.3. Let (C, F) be a Galois category.

- The functor $C \rightarrow Aut F$ -FSet is an equivalence.
- Let π be a profinite group. If F factors over an equivalence $\mathcal{C} \to \pi$ -FSet, then $\pi = \operatorname{Aut} F$.

Moreover, the group Aut *F* does not really depend on *F*.

Theorem 3.4. Let C be a category.

- If $F, F': \mathcal{C} \to FSet$ both make \mathcal{C} into a Galois category, then $F \cong F'$.
- Let π, π' be profinite groups. If C is equivalent to both π -FSet and π' -FSet, then $\pi \cong \pi'$.

4. Applications

From the preceding theory we can easily prove theorems 1.2 and 2.1. For the first, let (X, x) be a pointed connected topological space. We define the fiber functor F_x : FCov $X \to$ FSet, $p \mapsto p^{-1}(x)$.

Lemma 4.1. Let X be a topological space. Let $p: Y \to X$ and $q: Z \to X$ be finite coverings, and $f: Y \to Z$ a morphism of coverings. Then each $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood $U \subseteq X$ where p and q are trivial, such that f is of the form $id_U \times \alpha : U \times p^{-1}(U) \to U \times q^{-1}(U)$ above U.

Theorem 4.2. *The pair* (FCov X, F_x) *is a Galois category.*

In the algebraic geometry setting, we do essentially the same. Let (X, \bar{x}) be a geometrically pointed connected scheme. Let $F_{\bar{x}}$ be the fiber functor FEt $X \to$ FEt $\bar{x} \to$ FSet.

٠

Theorem 4.3. *The pair* (FEt *X*, $F_{\bar{x}}$) *is a Galois category.*

It is a good exercise to prove this theorem in the case $X = \operatorname{Spec} k$, where k is a field. Observe that then $\pi^{\operatorname{et}}(X, \overline{x}) = \operatorname{Gal}(k^{\operatorname{sep}}/k)$. This illustrates the terminology 'Galois theory'.

References

- [1] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (SGA 1)*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 224, Springer, 1971.
- [2] H.W. Lenstra, Galois theory for schemes. http://websites.math.leidenuniv.nl/algebra/GSchemes.pdf, 2008.