

# Introduction and preliminaries

Wouter Zomervrucht, Februari 26, 2014

## 1. Introduction

**Theorem 1.1 (Serre duality).** *Let  $k$  be a field,  $X$  a smooth projective scheme over  $k$  of relative dimension  $n$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of finite rank. Then for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \Omega_{X/k}^n)) \cong H^{n-i}(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee. \quad \blacklozenge$$

One goal of the coherent cohomology seminar is to state and prove a generalization of this theorem. First, we drop the smoothness condition. We need to replace  $\Omega_{X/k}^n$  by the more abstract *dualizing sheaf*  $\omega_{X/k}$ .

Also we make the situation relative and consider arbitrary proper morphisms  $X \rightarrow Y$ . In general there will no longer be a suitable notion of dualizing sheaf. To remedy the situation we resort to cochain complexes and derived categories.

**Theorem 1.2 (Grothendieck–Serre duality).** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally noetherian schemes. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $\mathcal{G}$  a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. Under suitable (weak) conditions, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$Rf_* R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, f^! \mathcal{G}) \cong R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(Rf_* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}). \quad \blacklozenge$$

The main difficulty is the construction of  $f^!$ . It will turn out to be a question of representability. Compare Serre duality: for  $i = 0$  the theorem states that  $\text{Vec } X \rightarrow \text{Set}, \mathcal{F} \mapsto H^0(X, \mathcal{F})^\vee$  is represented by the sheaf of differentials  $\Omega_{X/k}^n$ .

## 2. Sheaves of modules

Let  $X$  be a ringed space. Recall the notions of

- ▶  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules and their *morphisms*;
- ▶ *free* and *locally free*  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, their *rank*;
- ▶ *vector bundle*: locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of finite rank;
- ▶ *line bundle*: locally free  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module of rank 1.

Some constructions:

<i>tensor product</i>	$\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} := \left( U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{G}(U) \right)^\#$ ,
<i>direct sum</i>	$\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i := \left( U \mapsto \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i(U) \right)^\#$ ,
<i>sheaf hom</i>	$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) := \left( U \mapsto \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X _U}(\mathcal{F} _U, \mathcal{G} _U) \right)$ ,
<i>dual</i>	$\mathcal{F}^\vee := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

The category  $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$  is abelian.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of ringed spaces,  $\mathcal{F}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and  $\mathcal{G}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. The *direct image* or *pushforward* of  $\mathcal{F}$  is the sheaf of abelian groups

$$f_*\mathcal{F} := \left( U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}U) \right)$$

with the  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module structure from restriction of scalars  $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ . The *inverse image* or *pullback* of  $\mathcal{G}$  is the sheaf of abelian groups

$$f^*\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}\mathcal{G}$$

with the  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module structure by multiplication on the left. ◆

Despite the somewhat complicated definition, pullback is very well-behaved: for instance, we have  $f^*\mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ , and the stalk at  $x \in X$  is given by

$$(f^*\mathcal{G})_x \cong \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)}} \mathcal{G}_{f(x)}.$$

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of ringed spaces.

► Pullback and pushforward constitute adjoint functors

$$f^*: \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}, \quad f_*: \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-Mod}.$$

► Pullback is right exact and pushforward is left exact.

► If  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is another morphism of ringed spaces, then  $(gf)_* = g_*f_*$  and  $(gf)^* \cong f^*g^*$ . ◆

See [Stacks 0094, 01AF] for more details.

### 3. Quasi-coherent modules

Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. There is a unique sheaf of modules  $M^\sim$  on  $\text{Spec } A$  such that for all  $f \in A$  we have  $M^\sim(D(f)) = M_f$  as  $A_f$ -module, with the obvious restriction maps. The construction  $M \mapsto M^\sim$  is a functor  $A\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}\text{-Mod}$ , left adjoint to the global sections functor  $\Gamma(\text{Spec } A, \cdot)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. An  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is *quasi-coherent* if for every affine open  $U \subseteq X$  we have  $\mathcal{F}|_U = \mathcal{F}(U)^\sim$ . ◆

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a locally noetherian scheme. An  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is *coherent* if it is quasi-coherent and for every affine open  $U \subseteq X$  the  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is finitely generated. ◆

The category  $\text{QCoh } X$  contains all kernels, cokernels, extensions, direct sums, and tensor products. If  $X$  is locally noetherian, the same is true for  $\text{Coh } X$  (only finite direct sums). The categories  $\text{QCoh } X$  and  $\text{Coh } X$  are abelian.

Pullbacks of quasi-coherent modules are again quasi-coherent. In the locally noetherian case the same is true for coherent modules. However, pushforwards of a quasi-coherent module are not necessarily quasi-coherent.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-compact quasi-separated morphism of schemes and  $\mathcal{F}$  a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  is also quasi-coherent. ◆

We will see a similar statement for coherent modules later. See [Stacks 01I6, 01LA, 01XY] for more details.

## 4. Derived functors

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category. An object  $I \in \mathcal{A}$  is *injective* if the functor  $\text{Hom}(\cdot, I)$  is exact. If every object of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subobject of an injective object, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has *enough injectives*. An *injective resolution* of an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is a complex  $I^\bullet$  with a morphism  $A \rightarrow I^0$ , such that all  $I^i$  are injective,  $I^i = 0$  for  $i < 0$ , and

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact. If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives, then every object has an injective resolution.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an abelian category and  $f: A \rightarrow B$  a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $I^\bullet, J^\bullet$  be injective resolutions of  $A, B$ . Then there exists a morphism of complexes  $I^\bullet \rightarrow J^\bullet$  that induces  $f$  on cohomology, and such a morphism is unique up to homotopy.*  $\blacklozenge$

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories,  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  a left exact functor, and suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives. The  *$i$ -th right derived functor* of  $F$  is  $R^i F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, A \mapsto H^i(F(I^\bullet))$  where  $I^\bullet$  is an injective resolution of  $A$ .  $\blacklozenge$

Dually there are *projective resolutions* and *left derived functors*. In a certain sense, derived functors are ‘exact approximations’. This will be made precise in the language of *derived categories*.

We have a canonical isomorphism  $F \cong R^0 F$ . Each short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

in  $\mathcal{A}$  gives rise to a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^0 F(A) \rightarrow R^0 F(B) \rightarrow R^0 F(C) \rightarrow R^1 F(A) \rightarrow R^1 F(B) \rightarrow R^1 F(C) \rightarrow \dots$$

**Proposition 4.3 (Leray acyclicity).** *Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  be abelian categories,  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  a left exact functor, and suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives. An object  $J \in \mathcal{A}$  is *acyclic for  $F$*  if  $R^i F(J) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  be an object and  $J^\bullet$  an acyclic resolution of  $A$ . Then  $R^i F(A) \cong H^i(F(J^\bullet))$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*  $\blacklozenge$

Acyclic resolutions tend to be more available than injective ones, so they are useful for computations. See [Stacks 0134, 0156, 05TB] for more details.

## 5. Sheaf cohomology

The category  $\mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod}$  on a ringed space  $X$  has enough injectives.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $X$  be a ringed space. The  *$i$ -th cohomology functor* of  $X$  is the right derived functor  $H^i(X, \cdot) := R^i(\Gamma(X, \cdot)): \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)\text{-Mod}$ .  $\blacklozenge$

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of ringed spaces. The  *$i$ -th higher direct image functor* of  $f$  is the right derived functor  $R^i f_*: \mathcal{O}_X\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y\text{-Mod}$ .  $\blacklozenge$

Both versions of cohomology can also be computed on the level of abelian sheaves and abelian groups; the result is the same.

The higher direct image functors are relative versions of the absolute cohomology functors  $H^i(X, \cdot)$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of ringed spaces and  $\mathcal{F}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, then

$$R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \cong \left( V \mapsto H^i(f^{-1}V, \mathcal{F}) \right)^\#.$$

For schemes we have the following nice relation. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a quasi-compact quasi-separated morphism of schemes with  $Y$  affine. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then  $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} \cong H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \sim$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be an affine morphism of schemes and  $\mathcal{F}$  a quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then for all  $i > 0$  we have  $R^i f_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ , and for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(Y, f_* \mathcal{F})$ .*  $\blacklozenge$

**Theorem 5.4 (Grothendieck vanishing).** *Let  $X$  be a noetherian ringed space and  $\mathcal{F}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then  $H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $i > \dim X$ .*  $\blacklozenge$

See [Stacks 01DH, 01DZ, 01E0, 01X8, 01XH, 02UU] for more details.

## 6. Čech cohomology

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $X$  be a ringed space and  $\mathcal{F}$  an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . Put

$$C^r(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \prod_{i_0, \dots, i_r \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_r})$$

and define maps

$$C^r(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{r+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}), \quad (a_{i_0 \dots i_r})_{i_0, \dots, i_r} \mapsto \left( \sum_{j=0}^{r+1} (-1)^j a_{i_0 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_{r+1}} |_{U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_{r+1}}} \right)_{i_0, \dots, i_{r+1}}.$$

The  $r$ -th Čech cohomology group of  $\mathcal{F}$  relative to  $\mathcal{U}$ , denoted  $\check{H}^r(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ , is the  $r$ -th cohomology group of the cochain complex  $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ .  $\blacklozenge$

The purpose of Čech cohomology is to compute the ‘true’ cohomology. For simplification one may endow  $I$  with a total ordering  $<$  and consider the *ordered complex*: define

$$C^r_{<}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \prod_{i_0 < \dots < i_r \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_r})$$

and maps  $C^r_{<}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^{r+1}_{<}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  as before. The cohomology of  $C^\bullet_{<}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$  is canonically isomorphic to the usual Čech cohomology.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $X$  be a scheme and  $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$  an open cover of  $X$  such that  $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_r}$  is affine for all  $r \geq 0$ . Then for all quasi-coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{F}$  and all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have  $\check{H}^r(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^r(X, \mathcal{F})$  as  $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ -modules.*  $\blacklozenge$

An important application is the computation of the cohomology of projective space.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $A$  be a ring,  $n \geq 0$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}^n_A, \mathcal{O}(d)) = \begin{cases} A[x_0, \dots, x_n]_d & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \left( \frac{1}{x_0 \cdots x_n} A\left[\frac{1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_n}\right] \right)_d & \text{if } i = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \blacklozenge$$

This computation is a main ingredient in the proof of the following theorem. The remainder of the proof will be given next week.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a proper morphism of locally noetherian schemes. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then  $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*  $\blacklozenge$

Yet another approach to cohomology computations is by a resolution in sheaves with known cohomology. For instance, let  $A$  be a ring,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $f \in A[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  a non-zero homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d \geq 1$ . Let  $j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_A^n$  be the closed subscheme defined by  $f$ . We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n}(-d) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_A^n} \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

The long exact sequence of higher direct images gives

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \left(\frac{1}{x_0 \cdots x_n} A\left[\frac{1}{x_0}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_n}\right]\right)_{-d} & \text{if } i = n - 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

See [Stacks 01ED, 01FG, 01X8, 01XS, 0203] for more details.