

**MATRICIAL STRUCTURE OF THE HAAGERUP  $L^p$ -SPACES  
(WORK IN PROGRESS)**

DENIS POTAPOV AND FEDOR SUKOCHEV

Here, we review the construction of the Haagerup  $L^p$ -spaces for the special algebra  $M_n$  of all  $n \times n$  complex matrices ( $n$  is fixed for the rest of the section) and describe the matricial structure of these spaces. Note that we consider the algebra  $M_n$  as the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\ell_n^2$ .

Fix a n.s.f. weight  $\phi$  on  $M_n$ . Suppose that the weight  $\phi$  is given by

$$\phi(x) = \text{Tr}(e^\Phi x), \quad \Phi = \text{diag}\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^n \in M_n, \quad \phi_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\sigma_t$  be the modular group for the weight  $\phi$ , i.e., the group of  $*$ -automorphisms on  $M_n$  such that

- (i)  $\phi(\sigma_t(x)) = \phi(x)$ ,  $x \in M_n$ ;
- (ii) for every  $x, y \in M_n$ , there is a function  $f_{x,y}(z)$  holomorphic in the strip  $0 < \Im z < 1$  and continuous in the closure of that strip such that

$$\phi(\sigma_t(x)y) = f_{x,y}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(y\sigma_t(x)) = f_{x,y}(i+t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2)$$

It is not very difficult to see that for the weight  $\phi$  introduced in (1) the corresponding modular group is given by

$$\sigma_t(x) = e^{it\Phi} x e^{-it\Phi}.$$

Indeed, it is clear that the group  $\sigma$  introduced above is  $\phi$ -invariant, furthermore, if  $x, y \in M_n$ , then, the identities (2) hold with the following holomorphic function

$$f_{x,y}(z) = \text{Tr}\left(e^{(1+iz)\Phi} x e^{-iz\Phi} y\right).$$

The Haagerup  $L^p$  spaces construction starts with the crossed product  $R$  of the algebra  $M_n$  with the action  $\sigma = \{\sigma_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ . The crossed product algebra  $R$  is the subalgebra of  $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell_n^2))$  which is the minimal algebra containing the collections

$$\{\pi(x), x \in M_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\lambda_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$\pi(x)\xi(t) = \sigma_{-t}(x)\xi(t), \quad x \in M_n$$

and

$$\lambda_t(\xi)(s) = \xi(s-t), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell_n^2).$$

The construction of continuous crossed product is very abstract in its nature. The first step in our discussion is to present a constructive matricial description of the algebra  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let us consider the linear space of matrix-valued functions

$$\hat{\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ x(t) = [x_{\alpha\beta}(t)]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n, \quad x_{\alpha\beta} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

equipped with the following product

$$[xy]_{\alpha\beta}(t - \phi_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma=1}^n x_{\alpha\gamma}(t - \phi_\gamma)y_{\gamma\beta}(t - \phi_\beta)$$

and involution

$$[x^*]_{\alpha\beta}(t - \phi_\beta) = \bar{x}_{\beta\alpha}(t - \phi_\alpha), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x, y \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Together with introduced product and involution, the space  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  becomes a  $*$ -algebra.

We shall embed this algebra into  $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell_n^2))$  as follows: if  $\eta = x(\xi)$  and  $\eta = (\eta_\alpha)_{\alpha=1}^n$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_\beta)_{\beta=1}^n$ , then

$$\eta_\alpha(t - \phi_\alpha) = \sum_{\beta=1}^n x_{\alpha\beta}(t - \phi_\beta)\xi_\beta(t - \phi_\beta). \quad (3)$$

Observe that the algebra  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  has a subalgebra of constant matrix functions which is isomorphic to  $M_n$ . Furthermore, observe that identity (3) gives a (twisted) representation for the algebra  $M_n$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell_n^2)$ .

Observe also that when the matrix  $\Phi = \text{diag} \{ \phi_\alpha \}_{\alpha=1}^n$  is null, i.e., the weight  $\phi$  coincides with the standard trace  $\text{Tr}$ , then the algebra  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$  clearly coincides with the tensor product von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \bar{\otimes} M_n$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The crossed product  $\mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Fourier transform on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell_n^2)$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  is the inverse Fourier transform, i.e.,

$$\hat{\xi}(t) = \mathcal{F}\xi(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi(s) e^{-its} ds, \quad \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell_n^2)$$

and

$$\xi(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\hat{\xi}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\xi}(s) e^{its} ds, \quad \hat{\xi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell_n^2).$$

The mappings  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  are unitary transformations of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell^2)$ . We shall show that

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{F}^{-1}.$$

Let  $t \mapsto x(t) = [x_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \in M_n$  be a Schwartz matrix function, i.e., a matrix function with every entry being a Schwartz scalar function. The function  $x(t)$  defines an operator  $T \in \mathcal{R}$  by the following formula

$$T = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi(x(t)) \lambda_t dt.$$

The collection of all such operators  $T$  is weakly dense in  $\mathcal{R}$  (see [Ta, Ch. X, Lemma 1.8]).

In order to describe the algebra  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{R}\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ , let us compute the operator  $\hat{T} = \mathcal{F}T\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ . To this end let us fix a vector function  $\xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \ell_n^2)$  and represent the functions  $x(t)$  and  $\xi(t)$  in terms of their Fourier transforms  $\hat{x}(t)$  and  $\hat{\xi}(t)$ , i.e.,

$$\xi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\xi}(s) e^{its} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \quad \text{and} \quad x(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{x}(s) e^{its} ds.$$

Furthermore, assuming that  $\hat{x} = [\hat{x}_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n$  and  $\hat{\xi} = (\hat{\xi}_{\alpha})_{\alpha=1}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
T\xi(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi(x(k)) \lambda_k(t) \xi(t) dk \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_{-t}(x(k)) \xi(t-k) dk \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\Phi} x(k) e^{it\Phi} \xi(t-k) dk \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-it\Phi} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{x}(s) e^{iks} ds \right] e^{it\Phi} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\xi}(m) e^{im(t-k)} \frac{dm}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right] dk \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-it\Phi} \hat{x}(s) e^{it\Phi} \hat{\xi}(m) e^{iks+im(t-k)} ds dk \frac{dm}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-it\phi_{\alpha}} \hat{x}_{\alpha\beta}(s) e^{it\phi_{\beta}} \hat{\xi}_{\beta}(m) e^{iks+im(t-k)} ds dk \frac{dm}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{x}_{\alpha\beta}(s) \hat{\xi}_{\beta}(m) e^{it(m-\phi_{\alpha}+\phi_{\beta})} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{ik(s-m)} dk \right] ds \frac{dm}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \hat{x}_{\alpha\beta}(s) \hat{\xi}_{\beta}(m) e^{it(m-\phi_{\alpha}+\phi_{\beta})} \delta(s-m) ds \frac{dm}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{x}_{\alpha\beta}(s) \hat{\xi}_{\beta}(s) e^{it(s-\phi_{\alpha}+\phi_{\beta})} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\
&= \sum_{\beta=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{x}_{\alpha\beta}(s-\phi_{\beta}) \hat{\xi}_{\beta}(s-\phi_{\beta}) e^{it(s-\phi_{\alpha})} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if  $\eta = T\xi$  and  $\hat{\eta} = (\hat{\eta}_{\alpha})_{\alpha=1}^n$ , then

$$\hat{\eta}_{\alpha}(s-\phi_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\beta=1}^n \hat{x}_{\alpha\beta}(s-\phi_{\beta}) \hat{\xi}_{\beta}(s-\phi_{\beta}).$$

Thus, we showed that the operator  $\mathcal{FT}\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  belongs to  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ . The proof of the proposition is finished.  $\square$

From now on we shall identify the algebras  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ .

The crossed product  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$  possesses a distinguished trace  $\tau$ . Indeed, for an element  $x \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$ , introduce the functional  $\tau$  by

$$\tau(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x(t)) e^t dt = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} x_{\alpha\alpha}(t-\phi_{\alpha}) e^t dt, \quad x = [x_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}.$$

**Proposition 2.** *The functional  $\tau$  is a normal semi-finite faithful trace.*

*Proof.* Clearly, the functional  $\tau$  is semi-finite. Let us show that it trace, i.e.,

$$\tau(xy) = \tau(yx).$$

Due to the polarization identity, it is sufficient to check that

$$\tau(x^*x) = \tau(xx^*).$$

Let us fix  $x = [x_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n$  and compute  $\tau(x^*x)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [x^*x]_{\alpha\beta}(t - \phi_\beta) &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^n [x^*]_{\alpha\gamma}(t - \phi_\gamma)x_{\gamma\beta}(t - \phi_\beta) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \bar{x}_{\gamma\alpha}(t - \phi_\alpha)x_{\gamma\beta}(t - \phi_\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(x^*x) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} [x^*x]_{\alpha\alpha}(t - \phi_\alpha) e^t dt \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\gamma=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{x}_{\gamma\alpha}(t - \phi_\alpha)x_{\gamma\alpha}(t - \phi_\alpha) e^t dt \\ &= \sum_{\alpha,\gamma=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x_{\gamma\alpha}(t - \phi_\alpha)|^2 e^t ds. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, if we replace  $x$  with  $x^*$ , i.e.,  $x_{\gamma\alpha}(t - \phi_\alpha)$  with  $\bar{x}_{\alpha\gamma}(t - \phi_\gamma)$  the latter quantity does not change. In other words,  $\tau(x^*x) = \tau(xx^*)$  and therefore  $\tau$  is a trace.

The expression for  $\tau(x^*x)$  above also clearly implies that the trace  $\tau$  is faithful.

Thus, the proposition is proved.  $\square$

Consider the group of translations on  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ , i.e., the group  $\theta = \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  such that

$$\theta_t(x)(s) = x(s + t), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}.$$

The action  $\theta = \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is called *the dual action* on  $\hat{\mathbb{R}}$ . It is not very difficult to see that

$$\tau(\theta_t(x)) = e^{-t} \tau(x), \quad x \in \hat{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Let us denote by  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  the algebra of all  $\tau$ -measurable operators with respect to the couple  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ . Clearly, the  $*$ -algebra  $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$  is alternatively described as follows

$$\tilde{\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ x(t) = [x_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^\infty, \quad x_{\alpha\beta} \in S(e^t dt) \right\},$$

where  $S(e^t dt)$  is the algebra of all measurable (with respect to the trace  $e^t dt$ ) functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The Haagerup  $L^p$ -space is the subspace of  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  of all elements  $x \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}$  such that  $\theta_t(x) = e^{-t/p}x$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,

$$L^p(M_n) = \left\{ x \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}} : \theta_t(x) = e^{-t/p}x \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.** (i) The space  $L^p(M_n)$  admits the following description

$$L^p(M_n) = \left\{ x \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}} : x = \left[ e^{-(t+\Phi_\beta)/p} \psi_{\alpha\beta} \right]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n, [\psi_{\alpha\beta}] \in M_n \right\}.$$

(ii) If  $\mu(x)$  is the decreasing rearrangement of a element  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  (with respect to the trace  $\tau$ ), then

$$\mu_t(x) = \frac{\text{const}}{t^{1/p}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in L^p(M_n).$$

(iii) If an element  $x \in L^p(M_n)$  has the representation  $x = \left[ e^{-(t+\Phi_\beta)/p} \psi_{\alpha\beta} \right]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n$  for some matrix  $\psi = [\psi_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n$ , then the constant from the statement above is equal to  $\|\psi\|_{S^p}$ , where  $S^p$  is the Schatten-von Neumann class.

*Proof.* Clearly every matrix function  $x = \left[ e^{-(t+\Phi_\beta)/p} \psi_{\alpha\beta} \right]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n$  satisfies the equation

$$\theta_t(x) = e^{-t/p}x. \quad (4)$$

Conversely, if  $x = [x_{\alpha\beta}]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n$  satisfies (4), then

$$x(t) = \theta_t(x)(0) = e^{-t/p}x(0).$$

Setting  $\psi = x(0) e^{\Phi/p} \in M_n$  yields that

$$x(t) = \left[ e^{-(t+\Phi_\beta)/p} \psi_{\alpha\beta} \right]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n.$$

Thus, (i) follows.

Let us show (ii) and (iii). Fix the element  $x = \left[ e^{-(t+\Phi_\beta)} \psi_{\alpha\beta} \right]_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \in L^p(M_n)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that the matrix  $\psi$  is positive and diagonal. In this case  $x$  is also positive operator. Let  $\psi_{\alpha\alpha} = \delta_\alpha > 0$  and  $\psi_{\alpha\beta} = 0$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ .

Recall that

$$\mu_t(x) = \inf \left\{ s > 0 : \tau(\chi_{(s,+\infty)}(x)) \leq t \right\},$$

where  $\chi(x)$  is the spectral measure of  $x$ . Observe that

$$e^{-(t+\phi_\alpha)/p} \delta_\alpha > s \iff -\frac{t+\phi_\alpha}{p} > \log \frac{s}{\delta_\alpha} \iff t < -p \log \frac{s}{\delta_\alpha} - \phi_\alpha.$$

Consequently,

$$\chi_{(s,+\infty)}(x) = \text{diag} \left\{ \chi_{(-\infty, p \log \frac{s}{\delta_\alpha} - \phi_\alpha)} \right\}_{\alpha=1}^n \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\chi_{(s,+\infty)}(x)) &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\chi_{(s,+\infty)}(x)]_{\alpha\alpha} (t - \phi_\alpha) e^t dt \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{(-\infty, p \log \frac{s}{\delta_\alpha} - \phi_\alpha)}(t) e^t dt \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{p \log \frac{s}{\delta_\alpha} - \phi_\alpha} e^t dt \\ &= \frac{1}{s^p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \delta_\alpha^p. \end{aligned}$$

This instantly implies that

$$\mu_t(x) = \frac{\text{const}}{t^{1/p}},$$

where

$$\text{const} = \left( \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \delta_\alpha^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|\Psi\|_{s^p}.$$

Thus, (ii) and (iii) are proved. The proof of the theorem is finished.  $\square$

At the end of this section, let us note that a similar argument may be given in order to describe Haagerup  $L^p$ -spaces with respect to the algebra  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . We shall state the result without the proof. We leave details to the audience.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $M = L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathcal{R} = L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$  and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = S(dt ds)$  (=the space of all measurable functions on the plane  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ ).*

(i) *The space  $L^p(M)$  admits the following description*

$$L^p(M) = \left\{ x \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}} : x(t, s) = e^{-t/p} \psi(s), \psi \in L^p(ds) \right\}.$$

(ii) If  $\mu(x)$  is the decreasing rearrangement of an element  $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$  (with respect to the trace  $e^t dt ds$ ), then

$$\mu_t(x) = \frac{\text{const}}{t^{1/p}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in L^p(M).$$

(iii) If an element  $x \in L^p(M)$  has the representation  $x(t, s) = e^{-t/p} \psi(s)$  for some function  $\psi \in L^p(ds)$ , then the constant from the statement above is equal to  $\|\psi\|_{L^p(ds)}$ .

*E-mail address:* denis.potapov@flinders.edu.au

*E-mail address:* sukochev@infoeng.flinders.edu.au