

Topics in Statistics : Graphical Models

Spring 2007
Richard Gill

<http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~gill/teaching/graphical>

Preliminaries on conditioning

- Assume all random variables take values in Polish spaces and hence all joint distributions can be disintegrated into marginals and families of conditionals
- $X \perp Y \mid Z$, X indep of Y given Z ,
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{Law}(X, Y \mid Z=z) = \text{Law}(X \mid Z=z) \otimes \text{Law}(Y \mid Z=z) \quad P_Z\text{-a.e.}(z)$
 $\Leftrightarrow P_{X, Y \mid Z=z} = P_{X \mid Z=z} \otimes P_{Y \mid Z=z}$

Preliminaries on conditioning

- Say P is positive iff $\bigotimes_i P_i \ll P$ (abs cts/dominated)
 - $\Leftrightarrow P(\forall i X_i \in B_i) = 0 \Rightarrow \prod_i P(X_i \in B_i) = 0$
 - $\Leftrightarrow \prod_i P(X_i \in B_i) > 0 \Rightarrow P(X_i \in B_i \forall i) > 0$
 - $\Leftrightarrow P$ has a strict +ve density wrt a product meas.
 - $\Leftrightarrow P$ has no nontrivial deterministic relations
- We always have $P \ll \bigotimes_i P_i$, P always has a density with respect to a product measure. So P is positive iff $P \equiv \bigotimes_i P_i$

Graphoid axioms

ternary relation R on subsets of a finite set V
"C blocks B-to-A", $A \leftarrow B \mid C$ or $A \overset{C}{\not\leftarrow} B$

• (G1) $A \leftarrow B \mid C \Rightarrow B \leftarrow A \mid C$

• (G2) $A \leftarrow B \mid C, U \subseteq A \Rightarrow U \leftarrow B \mid C$

• (G3) $A \leftarrow B \mid C, U \subseteq B \Rightarrow A \leftarrow B \mid C \cup U$

• (G4) $A \leftarrow C \mid B, A \leftarrow D \mid B \cup C \Rightarrow A \leftarrow C \cup D \mid B$

• (G5) A, B, C disjoint, $A \leftarrow B \mid C, A \leftarrow C \mid B$
 $\Rightarrow A \leftarrow B \cup C \mid \emptyset$

Graphoids, graph sep. in undir. graphs, conditional independence

- Th. 1 : a 3^{ary} relation R satisfies (G1)-(G5)
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ graph $G=(V,E)$ s.t. R is G -separation
- Th. 2 : Given P define $R: A \perp\!\!\!\perp B | C \Leftrightarrow X_A \perp X_B | X_C$
then R satisfies (G1)-(G4), and (G5) if P is +ve
- Th. 3 : Given $G \exists$ +ve P s.t. S G -separates
 A from $B \Leftrightarrow X_A \perp X_B | X_S$

Impossibility of finite axiomatisation (Studenyyi)

- Suppose $(C1)-(Cn)$ are nontrivial true implications for $R=(\cdot, \perp, | \cdot)$

Then \exists nontrivial true implication (D) for R such that $(C1)-(Cn) \not\Rightarrow (D)$

- However as we saw, all +ve P satisfy all (D) implied by $(G1)-(G5)$

Markov Properties wrt undirected graphs

$G=(V,E)$ $X = (X_\alpha : \alpha \in V)$ Write α for X_α etc

Consider

• (P) $\alpha \neq \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \perp \beta \mid V \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$

• (L) $\alpha \perp V \setminus \text{cl}(\alpha) \mid \text{ne}(\alpha)$

• (G) $A \overset{S}{\not\perp} B \Rightarrow A \perp B \mid S$

• (F) $f(x) = \prod_{A \text{ complete}} \Phi_A(x_A)$

(f density of P wrt some product measure)

Markov Properties wrt undir graphs

- Thm 1: (F)actor \Rightarrow (G)lobal \Rightarrow (L)ocal \Rightarrow (P)airwise
Markov property
- Thm 2: If f positive, the (P)airwise \Rightarrow (F)actor
(ammersley-Clifford theorem)

Proofs

- Theorem 1. Trivial using (G1)–(G4) together with density factorization criterion of conditional independence
[wlog assume A, B, S a partition of V ; note that if C is complete and S separates A from B then C can't hit both A and B]

Proofs (continued)

- Theorem 2. Lemma : Möbius inversion :

$$H_A = \sum_{B \subseteq A} G_B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad G_B = \sum_{A \subseteq B} (-1)^{|B \setminus A|} H_A$$

- Now pick x^* and define $H_A(x_A) = \log (f(x_A, x_{V \setminus A}^*))$ so that $G_B = \sum_{A \subseteq B} (-1)^{|B \setminus A|} H_A$ satisfies $H_A = \sum_{B \subseteq A} G_B$,

in particular $\log(f(x)) = \sum_B G_B(x_B)$

- Suppose B not complete , $\alpha \neq \beta \in B$. Then $G_B(x_B) =$

$$\sum_{A \subseteq B \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}} (-1)^{|B \setminus A|} \log \frac{f(x_\alpha^*, x_\beta^*, x_A, x_R^*) / f(x_\alpha, x_\beta^*, x_A, x_R^*)}{f(x_\alpha^*, x_\beta, x_A, x_R^*) / f(x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_A, x_R^*)}$$

(continued next slide)

Proofs (continued)

(f +ve)

• But $A \perp B \mid C \Leftrightarrow \frac{f(x_A^*, x_B^*, x_C) / f(x_A, x_B^*, x_C)}{f(x_A^*, x_B, x_C) / f(x_A, x_B, x_C)} = 1$
for all ...