Chapter 7

The Subspace Theorem

Literature:

The Subspace Theorem is a higher dimensional generalization of Roth’s Theorem on the approximation of algebraic numbers by rational numbers. We explain the Subspace Theorem, give some applications to simultaneous Diophantine approximation, and then an application to higher dimensional generalizations of Thue equations, the so-called norm form equations.

7.1 The Subspace Theorem and some applications

In the formulation of the Subspace Theorem, we need some notions from linear algebra, which we recall below. Let $n$ be an integer $\geq 1$ and $r \leq n$. We say that linear forms $L_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{1j} X_j$, ..., $L_r = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{nj} X_j$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ are linearly dependent if there are $c_1, \ldots, c_r \in \mathbb{C}$, not all 0, such that $c_1 L_1 + \cdots + c_r L_r \equiv 0$. Otherwise, $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ are called linearly independent. If $r = n$, then $L_1, \ldots, L_n$ are linearly independent if and only if their coefficient determinant $\det(L_1, \ldots, L_n) = \det(\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \neq 0$.

A linear subspace $T$ of $\mathbb{Q}^n$ of dimension $r$ can be described as

$$T = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r z_i a_i : z_1, \ldots, z_r \in \mathbb{Q} \right\},$$
where $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ are linearly independent vectors from $\mathbb{Q}^n$ or alternatively as

$$T = \{ x \in \mathbb{Q}^n : L_1(x) = 0, \ldots, L_{n-r}(x) = 0 \}$$

where $L_1, \ldots, L_{n-r}$ are linearly independent linear forms in $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with coefficients from $\mathbb{Q}$.

The norm of $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is given by

$$\|x\| := \max(|x_1|, \ldots, |x_n|).$$

In what follows, $\mathbb{Q}$ is the set of algebraic numbers in $\mathbb{C}$.

**Theorem 7.1.** (Subspace Theorem, W.M. Schmidt, 1972). Let $n \geq 2$, let

$$L_i = \alpha_{i1}X_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{in}X_n \quad (i = 1, \ldots, n)$$

be $n$ linearly independent linear forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ and let $C > 0$, $\delta > 0$. Then the set of solutions of the inequality

$$(7.1) \quad |L_1(x) \cdots L_n(x)| \leq C\|x\|^{-\delta} \text{ in } x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

is contained in a union $T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_t$ of finitely many proper linear subspaces of $\mathbb{Q}^n$.

**Remark.** The proof of the Subspace Theorem is ineffective, i.e., it does not enable to determine the subspaces. There is however a quantitative version of the Subspace Theorem which gives an explicit upper bound for the number of subspaces. This is an important tool for estimating the number of solutions of various types of Diophantine equations.

We show that the Subspace Theorem implies Roth’s Theorem. Recall that the height of $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}$ is $H(\xi) = \max(|x|, |y|)$, where $\xi = x/y$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(x, y) = 1$.

**Corollary 7.2.** Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $C > 0$, $\kappa > 2$. Then the inequality

$$(7.2) \quad |\xi - \alpha| \leq C \cdot H(\xi)^{-\kappa} \text{ in } \xi \in \mathbb{Q}$$

has only finitely many solutions.
Proof. Let \( \xi = x/y \) be a solution of (7.2), with \( x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(x, y) = 1 \). Write \( \kappa = 2 + \delta \) with \( \delta > 0 \). By multiplying (7.2) with \( y^2 \) we obtain

\[
|y(x - \alpha y)| \leq C y^2 \max(|x|, |y|)^{-\delta} \leq C \cdot \max(|x|, |y|)^{-\delta}.
\]

Since the linear forms \( Y \) and \( X - \alpha Y \) are linearly independent, this is an inequality to which the Subspace Theorem is applicable. It follows that the pairs of integers \( (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \) with \( \gcd(x, y) = 1 \) such that \( \xi = x/y \) is a solution of (7.2) lie in a union of finitely many proper, i.e., one-dimensional linear subspaces of \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \). But a given one-dimensional subspace of \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \) consists of all points of the shape \( \lambda(x_0, y_0) \) with \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \) where \( (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \), thus the rational number \( \xi \) is uniquely determined by the subspace. This proves Roth’s Theorem.

The Subspace Theorem states that the set of solutions of (7.1) is contained in a finite union of proper linear subspaces of \( \mathbb{Q}^n \), but one may wonder whether (7.1) has only finitely many solutions. For instance, it may be that there is a non-zero \( x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) with \( L_1(x_0) = 0 \). Then for every \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \), the point \( \lambda x_0 \) is a solution to (7.1), and this gives infinitely many solutions to (7.1). To avoid such a construction, let us consider

\[
(7.3) \quad 0 < |L_1(x) \cdots L_n(x)| \leq C \cdot \|x\|^{-\delta} \text{ in } x \in \mathbb{Z}^n.
\]

In the case \( n = 2 \) the number of solutions is indeed finite.

Lemma 7.3. Let \( L_i = \alpha_{i1}X + \alpha_{i2}Y \) \((i = 1, 2)\) be two linearly independent linear forms with coefficients in \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) and let \( C > 0, \delta > 0 \). Then the inequality

\[
(7.4) \quad 0 < |L_1(x)L_2(x)| \leq C \|x\|^{-\delta} \text{ in } x = (x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2
\]

has only finitely many solutions.

Proof. By the Subspace Theorem, the solutions of (7.4) lie in finitely many one-dimensional linear subspaces of \( \mathbb{Q}^2 \). So we have to prove that each of these subspaces contains only finitely many solutions. Let \( T \) be one of these subspaces. Then \( T = \{ \lambda x_0 : \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \} \) where we may choose \( x_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \) with \( \gcd(x_0, y_0) = 1 \). Note that \( \lambda(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \) if and only if \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Z} \). If \( L_1(x_0)L_2(x_0) = 0 \) then (7.4) has no solutions in \( T \). Suppose that \( L_1(x_0)L_2(x_0) \neq 0 \). Then \( x = \lambda x_0 \) is a solution of (7.4) if and only if

\[
0 < \lambda^2 |L_1(x_0)L_2(x_0)| \leq C \cdot |\lambda|^{-\delta} \|x_0\|^{-\delta},
\]
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i.e., if \(|\lambda|^{2+\delta} \leq C \|x_0\|^{-\delta} |L_1(x_0)L_2(x_0)|^{-1}\). This shows that \(|\lambda|\) is bounded, hence that \(T\) contains only finitely many solutions of (7.4).

In case that \(n \geq 3\), (7.3) may very well have infinitely many solutions. We illustrate this with an example.

**Example.** Let \(0 < \delta < 1\) and consider the inequality

\[
(7.5) 0 < |(x_1 + \sqrt{2}x_2 + \sqrt{3}x_3)(x_1 - \sqrt{2}x_2 + \sqrt{3}x_3)(x_1 - \sqrt{2}x_2 - \sqrt{3}x_3)| \leq \|x\|^{-\delta}
\]

to be solved in \(x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3\). Notice that the three linear forms on the left-hand side are linearly independent.

Consider the triples of integers \(x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3\) with \(x_3 = 0, x_1 x_2 \neq 0\). For these points, \(\|x\| = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|, 0)\). By Dirichlet’s Theorem, the inequality

\[
\left|\sqrt{2} - \frac{x_1}{x_2}\right| \leq |x_2|^{-2}
\]

has infinitely many solutions \((x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\) with \(x_2 \neq 0\). For these solutions, \(\|x\|\) has the same order of magnitude as \(|x_2|\). Indeed,

\[
|x_1/x_2| \leq |x_2|^{-2} + \sqrt{2} \leq 1 + \sqrt{2},
\]

and so, \(\|x\| = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|) \leq (1 + \sqrt{2})|x_2|\).

So for the points under consideration,

\[
0 < |(x_1 + \sqrt{2}x_2 + \sqrt{3}x_3)(x_1 - \sqrt{2}x_2 + \sqrt{3}x_3)(x_1 - \sqrt{2}x_2 - \sqrt{3}x_3)|
= |(x_1 + \sqrt{2}x_2)(x_1 - \sqrt{2}x_2)^2|
\leq (1 + \sqrt{2})\|x\| \cdot (x_2^{-1})^2 \leq (1 + \sqrt{2})\|x\|^{-1}
\leq \|x\|^{-\delta},
\]

provided \(\|x\|\) is sufficiently large. It follows that (7.5) has infinitely many solutions \(x\) in the subspace \(x_3 = 0\).

**Exercise 7.1.** (i) Prove that (7.5) has infinitely many solutions in the spaces \(x_1 = 0\) and \(x_2 = 0\).

(ii) Prove that (7.5) has only finitely many solutions with \(x_1 x_2 x_3 \neq 0\)

**Hint.** The solutions of (7.5) lie in finitely many proper linear subspaces of \(\mathbb{Q}^3\). Let \(T\) be one of these subspaces. Let \(ax_1 + bx_2 + cx_3 = 0\) be a non-trivial equation
vanishing identically on $T$, with at least one of $a, b, c \neq 0$. Since we only have to consider spaces $T$ containing solutions with $x_1x_2x_3 \neq 0$, we may assume that at most one among $a, b, c$ is zero. Given a solution $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ of (7.5) in $T \cap \mathbb{Z}^3$, express one of the variables $x_1, x_2, x_3$ as a linear combination of the two others and substitute this in (7.5). What results is a product of three linear forms in two variables. You may handle this using an extension of the Subspace Theorem, treated below.

Let $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ be linear forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$ in the variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, where $r \geq n$. We say that $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ (or more correctly the hyperplanes $L_1 = 0, \ldots, L_r = 0$ being defined by them) are in general position if each $n$-tuple of linear forms among $L_1, \ldots, L_r$ is linearly independent.

**Theorem 7.4.** Let

$$L_i = \alpha_{i1}X_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{in}X_n \quad (i = 1, \ldots, r, \ r \geq n)$$

be $r$ linear forms with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$ in general position and let $C > 0, \ \delta > 0$. Then the set of solutions of the inequality

$$|L_1(x) \cdots L_r(x)| \leq C \cdot \|x\|^{r-n-\delta} \text{ in } x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

is contained in a union $T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_t$ of finitely many proper linear subspaces of $\mathbb{Q}^n$.

This can be deduced by combining the Subspace Theorem with the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.5.** Let $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ be linearly independent linear forms in $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with complex coefficients. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq C \max \{|M_1(x)|, \ldots, |M_n(x)|\} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

**Proof.** Since the linear forms $M_1, \ldots, M_n$ are linearly independent, they span the complex vector space of all linear forms in $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ with complex coefficients. So we can express $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ as linear combinations of $M_1, \ldots, M_n$, i.e.,

$$X_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{ij}M_j \text{ with } \beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{C} \ (i = 1, \ldots, n).$$

Take $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and put $M := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |M_i(x)|$. Then

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_{ij}| \cdot |M_j(x)| \leq C \cdot M \text{ with } C := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_{ij}|.$$
Proof of Theorem 7.4. We partition the solutions \( \mathbf{x} \) of (7.6) into a finite number of subsets according to the ordering of the numbers \( |L_1(\mathbf{x})|, \ldots, |L_r(\mathbf{x})| \), and show that each of these subsets lies in at most finitely many proper linear subspaces of \( \mathbb{Q}^n \). Consider the solutions \( \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) from one of these subsets, say for which
\[
|L_1(\mathbf{x})| \leq \cdots \leq |L_r(\mathbf{x})|.
\]
By Lemma 7.5, for \( i = n + 1, \ldots, r \), since \( L_1, \ldots, L_{n-1}, L_i \) are linearly independent, there is a constant \( C_i \) such that for all solutions \( \mathbf{x} \) under consideration,
\[
\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq C_i \max(|L_1(\mathbf{x})|, \ldots, |L_{n-1}(\mathbf{x})|, |L_i(\mathbf{x})|) = C_i |L_i(\mathbf{x})|.
\]
Together with (7.6) this implies
\[
|L_1(\mathbf{x}) \cdots L_n(\mathbf{x})| \leq C \|\mathbf{x}\|^{r-n-\delta} \prod_{i=n+1}^r |L_i(\mathbf{x})|^{-1} \leq C \cdot (C_{n+1} \cdots C_r) \|\mathbf{x}\|^{-\delta}.
\]
So the solutions \( \mathbf{x} \) under consideration lie in at most finitely many proper linear subspaces of \( \mathbb{Q}^n \). \( \square \)

The next result is a slight variation on a theorem of Dirichlet.

Lemma 7.6. Let \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R} \) be numbers that are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Then there is \( C > 0 \) such that the inequality
\[
(7.7) \quad |\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n| \leq C \|\mathbf{x}\|^{1-n} \quad \text{in } \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n
\]
has infinitely many solutions.

Proof. Let \( \beta_i = -\alpha_i/\alpha_n \) (\( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \)) For instance from Minkowski’s convex body theorem (see Chapter 2), one deduces that there are infinitely many \( \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \) such that
\[
(7.8) \quad |x_n - \beta_1 x_1 - \cdots - \beta_{n-1} x_{n-1}| \leq \max(|x_1|, \ldots, |x_{n-1}|)^{1-n}.
\]
Given a solution of this inequality, it follows easily that
\[
|x_n| \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\beta_i| \cdot |x_i| \leq C' \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |x_i|,
\]
say, hence \( \|\mathbf{x}\| \leq C' \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |x_i| \). By inserting this into (7.8) and multiplying with \( |\alpha_n| \) we get (7.7) with \( C = |\alpha_n| C'^{n-1} \). \( \square \)
In the case that the coefficients $\alpha_i$ are algebraic, we have the following counterpart.

**Theorem 7.7.** Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $C > 0, \delta > 0$. Then the inequality

$$0 < |\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n| \leq C\|x\|^{1-n-\delta} \text{ in } x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

has only finitely many solutions.

**Remark.** For $n = 2$ this implies Roth’s Theorem. Indeed, let $C > 0, \kappa > 2$ and $\alpha$ be an irrational algebraic number. Let $\xi = x/y$ (with coprime integers $x, y$) be a solution of $|\alpha - \xi| \leq CH(\xi)^{-\kappa}$. Then multiplying with $y$ gives

$$0 < |x - \alpha y| \leq C|y| \max(|x|, |y|)^{-\kappa} \leq C \max(|x|, |y|)^{1-\delta}$$

where $\delta = \kappa - 2$. By the above theorem, the latter inequality has only finitely many solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. This leaves only finitely many possibilities for $\xi$.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on $n$. For $n = 1$ the assertion is obvious. (Here we use our assumption $\alpha_1 x_1 \neq 0$). Let $n > 1$ and suppose Theorem 7.7 is true for linear forms in fewer than $n$ variables.

We apply the Subspace Theorem. We may assume that at least one of the coefficients $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ is non-zero, otherwise there are no solutions. Suppose that $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Then (7.9) implies

$$|(\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n) x_2 \cdots x_n| \leq C\|x\|^{-\delta}$$

and by the Subspace Theorem, the solutions of the latter lie in a union of finitely many proper linear subspaces $T_1, \ldots, T_t$ of $\mathbb{Q}^n$. We consider only solutions with $\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n \neq 0$. Therefore, without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n$ is not identically 0 on any of the spaces $T_1, \ldots, T_t$.

Consider the solutions of (7.6) in $T_i$. Choose a non-trivial linear form vanishing identically on $T_i$, $a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n x_n = 0$. Suppose for instance, that $a_n \neq 0$. Then $x_n$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$. By substituting this into (7.9) we obtain an inequality

$$0 < |\beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{n-1} x_{n-1}| \leq C\|x\|^{1-n-\delta} \leq C\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} |x_i|\right)^{2-n-\delta}.$$ 

By the induction hypothesis, the latter inequality has only finitely many solutions $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$. So $T_i$ contains only finitely many solutions $x$ of (7.6). Applying this to $T_1, \ldots, T_t$ we obtain that (7.9) has altogether only finitely many solutions. 

---
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Instead of approximating a given algebraic number $\alpha$ by rationals, we can also consider the approximation of $\alpha$ by algebraic numbers of degree at most $d$. Recall that the primitive minimal polynomial of $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the polynomial $F := a_0X^d + a_1X^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that $F(\xi) = 0$, $F$ is irreducible, and $a_0 > 0$, $\gcd(a_0, \ldots, a_d) = 1$. Then the height of $\xi$ is $H(\xi) := \max(|a_0|, \ldots, |a_d|)$.

We consider

$$(7.10) \quad |\xi - \alpha| \leq C \cdot H(\xi)^{-\kappa} \quad \text{in } \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ with } \deg \xi \leq d.$$ 

**Theorem 7.8.** For every $C > 0$, $\kappa > d + 1$, $(7.10)$ has only finitely many solutions.

**Proof.** Write $\kappa = d + 1 + \delta$ with $\delta > 0$. Let $\xi$ be a solution of $(7.10)$. Let $F = x_0 + x_1X + \cdots + x_dX^d$ be the primitive minimal polynomial of $\xi$. Then $\mathbf{x} := (x_0, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$ and $H(\xi) = \|\mathbf{x}\|$. We want to show that there are only finitely many possibilities for $F$, and to this end, we want to estimate from above $|F(\alpha)| = |\sum_{i=0}^d x_i\alpha^i|$ and apply Theorem 7.7.

Since $F(\xi) = 0$ we have

$$|F(\alpha)| = \left| \int_0^1 F'(\xi + t(\alpha - \xi)) \cdot (\alpha - \xi) dt \right| \leq |\alpha - \xi| \cdot \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |F'(\xi + t(\alpha - \xi))|.$$ 

Using $|\xi + t(\alpha - \xi)| \leq |\alpha| + |\xi| \leq |\alpha| + C$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we obtain

$$|F'(\xi + t(\alpha - \xi))| \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i| \cdot i(|\alpha| + C)^{i-1} \leq C'\|\mathbf{x}\|,$$ 

say. Hence $|F(\alpha)| \leq |\xi - \alpha| \cdot C'\|\mathbf{x}\|$. There are only finitely many $\xi$ which are conjugate to $\alpha$. For the remaining solutions $\xi$ of $(7.10)$ we have $F(\alpha) \neq 0$, and so

$$0 < |\sum_{i=0}^d x_i\alpha^i| = |F(\alpha)| \leq C'\|\mathbf{x}\| \cdot |\xi - \alpha| \leq C' \cdot C\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-d-\delta}.$$ 

By Theorem 7.8 with $n = d + 1$, the latter inequality has at most finitely many solutions $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}$. These give rise to at most finitely many possibilities for $F$, hence to at most finitely many possibilities for $\xi$.

**Exercise 7.2.** In this exercise you are asked to prove another generalization of Roth’s Theorem. Let $C > 0$, $\delta > 0$, and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be real algebraic numbers such that $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. 114
Consider the system of inequalities

\[(7.11) \quad |x_1 - \alpha_1 x_{n+1}| \leq C \|x\|^{-\frac{1}{n} - \delta}, \ldots, |x_n - \alpha_n x_{n+1}| \leq C \|x\|^{-\frac{1}{n} - \delta}\]

to be solved simultaneously in \(x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}\). Prove that (7.11) has only finitely many solutions.

**Hint.** First apply the Subspace Theorem. Then show that a subspace \(T\), given by an equation \(a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_{n+1} x_{n+1} = 0\) with \(a_i \in \mathbb{Q}\), say, contains only finitely many solutions. There is no obvious way to do this with the Subspace Theorem, so you have to prove this directly without using the Subspace Theorem.

### 7.2 Norm form equations

Recall that if \(F(X,Y)\) is an irreducible binary form in \(\mathbb{Q}[X,Y]\) of degree \(d\) with coefficient of \(X^d\) equal to 1, say, then

\[F(X,Y) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (X - \alpha^{(i)}Y)\]

where \(\alpha^{(1)}, \ldots, \alpha^{(d)}\) are the conjugates of an algebraic number \(\alpha\). If \(K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)\), and \(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s\) are the embeddings of \(K\) in \(\mathbb{C}\), with \(\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha^{(i)}\), then

\[F(X,Y) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (X - \sigma_i(\alpha)Y) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(X - \alpha Y)\]

That is, \(F\) is a norm form in two variables. The Thue equation

\[N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x - \alpha y) = c \quad \text{in} \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\]

has only finitely many solutions if \([K : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 3\) (by Thue’s Theorem) or if \(K\) is an imaginary quadratic field (then the solutions represent points with integer coordinates on an ellipsis). It may have infinitely many solutions if \(K\) is real quadratic. For instance if \(K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})\) with \(d\) a positive, non-square integer, then the Pell equation \(x^2 - dy^2 = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x - \sqrt{d}y) = 1\) has infinitely many solutions.

We consider a generalization of the Thue equation, involving norm forms of an arbitrary number of variables. Let \(K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)\) be an algebraic number field of
degree $d$. Then the monic minimal polynomial $f_{\theta}$ of $\theta$ can be expressed as $f_{\theta} = \prod_{i=1}^{d}(X - \theta^{(i)})$, where $\theta^{(1)}, \ldots, \theta^{(d)} \in \mathbb{C}$ are the conjugates of $\theta$. The embeddings of $K$ in $\mathbb{C}$ are given by $\sigma_{i}(\theta) = \theta^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Define $G := \mathbb{Q}(\theta^{(1)}, \ldots, \theta^{(d)})$. Then $G$ is a normal number field. Denote by $\text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q})$ the Galois group, i.e., the group of automorphisms of $G$. Recall that each $\tau \in \text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q})$ permutes $\theta^{(1)}, \ldots, \theta^{(d)}$. Hence each $\tau \in \text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q})$ may be identified with a permutation of $\theta^{(1)}, \ldots, \theta^{(d)}$, and thus $\text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $S_{d}$ (that is the permutation group on $d$ elements).

Now suppose that $2 \leq n \leq d$ and let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be elements of $K$ which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, that is, the only solution in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}$ of $x_{1}\alpha_{1} + \cdots + x_{n}\alpha_{n} = 0$ is $x_{1} = \cdots = x_{n} = 0$. Define the polynomial

$$F(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}) := N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_{1}X_{1} + \cdots + \alpha_{n}X_{n}) := \prod_{i=1}^{d}(\sigma_{i}(\alpha_{1})X_{1} + \cdots + \sigma_{i}(\alpha_{n})X_{n}).$$

Notice that if we apply any $\tau$ from the Galois group $\text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q})$, then it permutes the linear factors of $F$, hence it leaves the coefficients of $F$ unchanged. So $F$ has its coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$.

We deal with the so-called norm form equation

$$(7.12) \quad N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_{1}x_{1} + \cdots + \alpha_{n}x_{n}) = c \quad \text{in} \quad x = (x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}.$$

If $n = 2$, the left-hand side is a binary form and (7.12) becomes a Thue equation.

In 1972, Schmidt gave a necessary and sufficient condition such that (7.12) has only finitely many solutions. His proof was based on the Subspace Theorem. Here, we prove a special case of his result.

**Theorem 7.9.** Suppose that $n < d$, and let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be elements of $K$ which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that $\text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_{d}$. Then (7.12) has only finitely many solutions.

We need some lemmas.

**Lemma 7.10.** The vectors $(\sigma_{1}(\alpha_{i}), \ldots, \sigma_{d}(\alpha_{i}))$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) are linearly independent in $\mathbb{C}^{d}$.
Proof. In general, any linearly independent subset of a finite dimensional vector space can be augmented to a basis of that space. In particular, we can augment \( \{ \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \} \) to a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-basis \( \{ \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d \} \) of \( K \). As a consequence, there are \( b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q} \) such that

\[
\theta^i = \sum_{j=1}^d b_{ij} \alpha_j \quad \text{for } i = 0, \ldots, d - 1.
\]

Then also, \( \sigma_i(\theta)^j = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} b_{jk} \sigma_i(\alpha_k) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, d, \ j = 0, \ldots, d - 1 \), and this leads to a matrix identity and determinant identity

\[
\left( \sigma_i(\theta)^j \right) = \left( \sigma_i(\alpha_j) \right) \cdot \left( b_{ij} \right)^T, \quad \det \left( \sigma_i(\theta)^j \right) = \det \left( \sigma_i(\alpha_j) \right) \cdot \det \left( b_{ij} \right).
\]

By Vandermonde’s identity we have

\[
\det \left( \sigma_i(\theta)^j \right) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} (\theta^j - \theta^i) \neq 0.
\]

Hence \( \det \left( \sigma_i(\alpha_j) \right) \neq 0 \), and so the vectors \( \left( \sigma_1(\alpha_i), \ldots, \sigma_d(\alpha_i) \right) \) (\( i = 1, \ldots, d \)) are linearly independent in \( \mathbb{C}^d \).

Lemma 7.11. Let \( L_i := \sigma_i(\alpha_1)X_1 + \cdots + \sigma_i(\alpha_n)X_n \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, d \). Then the linear forms \( L_1, \ldots, L_d \) are in general position.

Proof. Lemma 7.10 implies that the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\sigma_d(\alpha_1) & \cdots & \sigma_d(\alpha_n)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

has column rank \( n \). Then the row rank of this matrix is also \( n \), which implies that this matrix has \( n \) linearly independent rows. Suppose that the rows with indices \( i_1, \ldots, i_n \) are linearly independent. This means precisely that the linear forms \( L_{i_1}, \ldots, L_{i_n} \) are linearly independent, i.e., \( \det(L_{i_1}, \ldots, L_{i_n}) \neq 0 \).

Let \( (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \) be any other \( n \)-tuple of \( n \) distinct indices from \( \{1, \ldots, d\} \). We have to show that also \( L_{j_1}, \ldots, L_{j_n} \) are linearly independent, i.e., \( \det(L_{j_1}, \ldots, L_{j_n}) \neq 0 \). The assumption that \( \text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q}) \cong S_d \) means that if we let act \( \text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q}) \) on
We obtain all permutations of \((\theta^{(1)}, \ldots, \theta^{(d)})\). In particular, there is \(\tau \in \text{Gal}(G/\mathbb{Q})\) such that

\[
\tau(\theta^{(i_1)}) = \theta^{(j_1)}, \ldots, \tau(\theta^{(i_n)}) = \theta^{(j_n)}.
\]

This implies \(\tau \circ \sigma_{i_1} = \sigma_{j_1}, \ldots, \tau \circ \sigma_{i_n} = \sigma_{j_n}\), and consequently, that \(\tau\) maps the coefficients of \(L_{i_k}\) to those of \(L_{j_k}\) for \(k = 1, \ldots, n\). It follows that indeed

\[
\det(L_{j_1}, \ldots, L_{j_n}) = \tau(\det(L_{i_1}, \ldots, L_{i_n})) \neq 0.
\]

\[\square\]

**Proof of Theorem 7.9.** We proceed by induction on the number of variables \(n\). First let \(n = 1\). Then equation (7.12) becomes

\[
N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1 x_1) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) x_1^d = c,
\]

and this clearly has only finitely many solutions.

Next, let \(n \geq 2\), and assume the theorem is true for norm form equations in fewer than \(n\) unknowns. Since \(d > n\) and the linear forms \(L_1, \ldots, L_d\) are in general position, by Theorem 7.4, for any \(C > 0, \delta > 0\) the set of solutions of

\[
|F(x)| = |L_1(x) \cdots L_d(x)| \leq C\|x\|^{d-n-\delta}
\]

lies in a union of finitely many proper linear subspaces of \(\mathbb{Q}^n\). It follows that the solutions of (7.12) lie in only finitely many proper linear subspaces of \(\mathbb{Q}^n\).

We show that (7.12) has only finitely many solutions in each of these subspaces. Let \(T\) be one of these subspaces. For solutions in \(T\), one of the coordinates can be expressed as a linear combination of the others, with coefficients in \(\mathbb{Q}\). Say that we have \(x_n = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} x_{n-1}\) identically on \(T\), where \(a_i \in \mathbb{Q}\). By substituting this in (7.12) we get a norm form equation in \(n - 1\) variables

\[
N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = c,
\]

where \(\beta_i = a_i + a_i \alpha_n\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, n-1\). It is not difficult to show that \(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\) are linearly independent over \(\mathbb{Q}\). Hence by the induction hypothesis, this last equation has only finitely many solutions \((x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}\). This implies that the original equation (7.12) has only finitely many solutions \((x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in T\). This completes our proof. \[\square\]
We give examples of norm form equations with infinitely many solutions. We recall the following fact:

**Lemma 7.12.** Let $K$ be an algebraic number field and $\alpha$ an element of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of $K$. Then

$$\alpha \text{ is a unit of } \mathcal{O}_K \iff N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \pm 1.$$

**Proof.** Well-known. \qed

It is more convenient to rewrite (7.12) as

(7.13) $$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) = c \text{ in } \xi \in \mathcal{M},$$

where

$$\mathcal{M} := \{\alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n x_n : x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$ 

Notice that $\mathcal{M}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module in $K$ of rank $n$, i.e., its elements can be expressed uniquely as $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of a basis of $n$ elements.

Take an algebraic number field $K$ such that the unit group $\mathcal{O}_K^*$ of the ring of integers of $K$ is infinite. By Dirichlet’s Unit Theorem, this holds for any number field $K$ which is not $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field (i.e. a number field of the shape $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-a})$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$). Take $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_K$. It is known that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank equal to $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Now clearly, if $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$, then $\xi = \varepsilon^2$ is a solution to

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\xi) = 1 \text{ in } \xi \in \mathcal{O}_K,$$

and so this last norm form equation has infinitely many solutions.

More generally, (7.13) has infinitely many solutions if

$$\mu \mathcal{O}_L = \{\mu \xi : \xi \in \mathcal{O}_L\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$$

for some $\mu \in K^*$, and some subfield $L$ of $K$ which is not equal to $\mathbb{Q}$ or to an imaginary quadratic field. Now Schmidt’s result on norm form equations is as follows.

**Theorem 7.13.** (W.M. Schmidt, 1972) Let $K$ be an algebraic number field, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ elements of $K$ which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$, and

$$\mathcal{M} := \{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i : x_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$ 

Then the following two assertions are equivalent:
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(i) there do not exist \( \mu \in K^* \) and a subfield \( L \) of \( K \) not equal to \( \mathbb{Q} \) or to an imaginary quadratic field such that \( \mu \mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \mathcal{M} \);

(ii) for every \( c \in \mathbb{Q}^* \), the equation

\[
N_{K/Q}(\xi) = c \quad \text{in } \xi \in \mathcal{M}
\]

has only finitely many solutions.

The implication (i) \( \Longrightarrow \) (ii) is deduced from the Subspace Theorem. The proof is too difficult to be included here. We prove only the other implication, that is, if (i) is false then there is \( c \in \mathbb{Q}^* \) such that (7.13) has infinitely many solutions. Indeed, for every \( \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_L \) we have \( \mu \varepsilon^2 \in \mathcal{M} \) and \( N_{K/Q}(\varepsilon) = \pm 1 \). Thus, by letting \( \varepsilon \) run through \( \mathcal{O}_L^* \), we obtain infinitely many elements \( \xi = \mu \varepsilon^2 \in \mathcal{M} \) with

\[
N_{K/Q}(\xi) = N_{K/Q}(\mu)N_{K/Q}(\varepsilon)^2 = N_{K/Q}(\mu).
\]

Example. Let

\[
K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[6]{2}), \quad \mathcal{M} := \{x_1 \sqrt[6]{2} + x_2 \sqrt[6]{2} + x_3 \sqrt[5]{2} : x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
\]

Notice that \( K \) contains the subfield \( L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[6]{2}) \). One can show that

\[
\mathcal{O}_L = \{x_1 + x_2 \sqrt[6]{2} + x_3 \sqrt[4]{2} : x_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \mathcal{O}_L^* = \{\pm(1 - \sqrt[6]{2})^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.
\]

We have \( \mathcal{M} = \sqrt[6]{2} \mathcal{O}_L \) and \( N_{K/Q}(1 - \sqrt[6]{2}) = 1 \). Hence every \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) yields a solution \( \xi := \sqrt[6]{2}(1 - \sqrt[6]{2})^n \in \mathcal{M} \) of

\[
N_{K/Q}(\xi) = N_{K/Q}(\sqrt[6]{2}) = 2.
\]

Exercise 7.3. Let \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[5]{2}) \). Note that the embeddings of \( K \) in \( \mathbb{C} \) are given by \( \sigma_i(\sqrt[5]{2}) = \rho^i \sqrt[5]{2} \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, 4 \), where \( \rho = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}/5} \). Let \( c \in \mathbb{Q}^* \), and consider the norm form equation

\[
N_{K/Q}(x_1 + \sqrt[5]{2} x_2 + \sqrt[4]{4} x_3) = \prod_{i=0}^4 (x_1 + \rho^i \sqrt[5]{2} x_2 + \rho^{2i} \sqrt[4]{4} x_3) = c \quad \text{in } x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

(i) Prove that the left-hand side of (7.14) is a product of linear forms in general position.
(ii) Prove that if $\alpha, \beta \in K^*$ and $\alpha/\beta \not\in \mathbb{Q}$, then the linear forms $\sigma_i(\alpha)X_1 + \sigma_i(\beta)X_2$ ($i = 0, \ldots, 4$) are in general position.

(iii) Prove that (7.14) has only finitely many solutions (you are allowed to apply Theorem 7.4 but not Theorem 7.13).