

# The ABC-conjecture

*Frits Beukers*

*ABC-day, Leiden 9 september 2005*

## ABC-hits

- ▶ The product of the distinct primes in a number is called the *radical* of that number. Notation:  $\text{rad}()$ . For example,

$$\text{rad}(2^2 \times 3^4) = 2 \times 3 = 6, \quad \text{rad}(2 \times 3 \times 5^2) = 2 \times 3 \times 5 = 30.$$

## ABC-hits

- ▶ The product of the distinct primes in a number is called the *radical* of that number. Notation:  $\text{rad}()$ . For example,

$$\text{rad}(2^2 \times 3^4) = 2 \times 3 = 6, \quad \text{rad}(2 \times 3 \times 5^2) = 2 \times 3 \times 5 = 30.$$

- ▶ Three positive integers  $A, B, C$  are called *ABC-triple* if they are coprime,  $A < B$  and

$$A + B = C$$

## ABC-hits

- ▶ The product of the distinct primes in a number is called the *radical* of that number. Notation:  $\text{rad}()$ . For example,

$$\text{rad}(2^2 \times 3^4) = 2 \times 3 = 6, \quad \text{rad}(2 \times 3 \times 5^2) = 2 \times 3 \times 5 = 30.$$

- ▶ Three positive integers  $A, B, C$  are called *ABC-triple* if they are coprime,  $A < B$  and

$$A + B = C$$

- ▶ Compute  $\text{rad}(ABC)$  and check whether  $\text{rad}(ABC) < C$ . If this inequality is true we say that we have an *ABC-hit*!

## ABC-hits

- ▶ The product of the distinct primes in a number is called the *radical* of that number. Notation:  $\text{rad}()$ . For example,

$$\text{rad}(2^2 \times 3^4) = 2 \times 3 = 6, \quad \text{rad}(2 \times 3 \times 5^2) = 2 \times 3 \times 5 = 30.$$

- ▶ Three positive integers  $A, B, C$  are called *ABC-triple* if they are coprime,  $A < B$  and

$$A + B = C$$

- ▶ Compute  $\text{rad}(ABC)$  and check whether  $\text{rad}(ABC) < C$ . If this inequality is true we say that we have an *ABC-hit*!
- ▶ Among all  $15 \times 10^6$  *ABC*-triples with  $C < 10000$  we have 120 *ABC*-hits.

## ABC-hits

- ▶ The product of the distinct primes in a number is called the *radical* of that number. Notation:  $\text{rad}()$ . For example,

$$\text{rad}(2^2 \times 3^4) = 2 \times 3 = 6, \quad \text{rad}(2 \times 3 \times 5^2) = 2 \times 3 \times 5 = 30.$$

- ▶ Three positive integers  $A, B, C$  are called *ABC-triple* if they are coprime,  $A < B$  and

$$A + B = C$$

- ▶ Compute  $\text{rad}(ABC)$  and check whether  $\text{rad}(ABC) < C$ . If this inequality is true we say that we have an *ABC-hit*!
- ▶ Among all  $15 \times 10^6$  *ABC*-triples with  $C < 10000$  we have 120 *ABC*-hits.
- ▶ Among all  $380 \times 10^6$  *ABC*-triples with  $C < 50000$  we have 276 hits.

## More hits

- ▶ Theorem: *There are infinitely many ABC-hits.*

## More hits

- ▶ Theorem: *There are infinitely many ABC-hits.*
- ▶ Proof: Let us take  $A = 1$  and  $C = 3, 3^2, 3^4, 3^8, \dots, 3^{2^k}, \dots$   
We determine how many factors 2 occur in  $B = 3^{2^k} - 1$ .



## More hits

- ▶ Theorem: *There are infinitely many ABC-hits.*
- ▶ Proof: Let us take  $A = 1$  and  $C = 3, 3^2, 3^4, 3^8, \dots, 3^{2^k}, \dots$   
We determine how many factors 2 occur in  $B = 3^{2^k} - 1$ .
- ▶ Notice

$$\begin{aligned}3^{64} - 1 &= (3^{32} + 1)(3^{32} - 1) \\ &= (3^{32} + 1)(3^{16} + 1)(3^{16} - 1) \\ &\quad \dots \\ &= (3^{32} + 1)(3^{16} + 1)(3^8 + 1) \cdots (3 + 1)(3 - 1)\end{aligned}$$

So  $3^{64} - 1$  is divisible by  $2 \cdot 2^8$ .

## More hits

- ▶ Theorem: *There are infinitely many ABC-hits.*
- ▶ Proof: Let us take  $A = 1$  and  $C = 3, 3^2, 3^4, 3^8, \dots, 3^{2^k}, \dots$   
We determine how many factors 2 occur in  $B = 3^{2^k} - 1$ .
- ▶ In general  $3^{2^k} - 1$  is divisible by  $2^{k+2}$ . So

$$\text{rad}(B) = \text{rad}(3^{2^k} - 1) \leq (3^{2^k} - 1)/2^k < C/2^{k+1}$$

We conclude

$$\text{rad}(ABC) = 3 \cdot \text{rad}(B) < 3C/2^{k+1}.$$

## More hits

- ▶ Theorem: *There are infinitely many ABC-hits.*
- ▶ Proof: Let us take  $A = 1$  and  $C = 3, 3^2, 3^4, 3^8, \dots, 3^{2^k}, \dots$ . We determine how many factors 2 occur in  $B = 3^{2^k} - 1$ .
- ▶ In general  $3^{2^k} - 1$  is divisible by  $2^{k+2}$ . So

$$\text{rad}(B) = \text{rad}(3^{2^k} - 1) \leq (3^{2^k} - 1)/2^k < C/2^{k+1}$$

We conclude

$$\text{rad}(ABC) = 3 \cdot \text{rad}(B) < 3C/2^{k+1}.$$

- ▶ In other words,  $C > \text{rad}(ABC) \cdot 2^{k+1}/3$ . So when  $k \geq 1$  we have an ABC-hit.

## More hits

- ▶ Theorem: *There are infinitely many ABC-hits.*
- ▶ Proof: Let us take  $A = 1$  and  $C = 3, 3^2, 3^4, 3^8, \dots, 3^{2^k}, \dots$ . We determine how many factors 2 occur in  $B = 3^{2^k} - 1$ .
- ▶ In general  $3^{2^k} - 1$  is divisible by  $2^{k+2}$ . So

$$\text{rad}(B) = \text{rad}(3^{2^k} - 1) \leq (3^{2^k} - 1)/2^k < C/2^{k+1}$$

We conclude

$$\text{rad}(ABC) = 3 \cdot \text{rad}(B) < 3C/2^{k+1}.$$

- ▶ In other words,  $C > \text{rad}(ABC) \cdot 2^{k+1}/3$ . So when  $k \geq 1$  we have an ABC-hit.
- ▶ But we have shown more. For any number  $M > 1$  there exist infinitely many ABC-triples such that  $C > M \cdot \text{rad}(ABC)$ .

## Super hits

- ▶ Instead of something linear in  $\text{rad}(ABC)$  let us take something quadratic.

Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^2$  ?

## Super hits

- ▶ Instead of something linear in  $\text{rad}(ABC)$  let us take something quadratic.  
Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^2$  ?
- ▶ Answer: Unknown

## Super hits

- ▶ Instead of something linear in  $\text{rad}(ABC)$  let us take something quadratic.  
Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^2$  ?
- ▶ Answer: Unknown
- ▶ Working *hypothesis*: For every  $ABC$ -triple:  $C < \text{rad}(ABC)^2$ .

## Super hits

- ▶ Instead of something linear in  $\text{rad}(ABC)$  let us take something quadratic.  
Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^2$  ?
- ▶ Answer: Unknown
- ▶ Working *hypothesis*: For every  $ABC$ -triple:  $C < \text{rad}(ABC)^2$ .
- ▶ Consequence: Let  $x, y, z, n$  be positive integers such that  $\text{gcd}(x, y, z) = 1$  and  $x^n + y^n = z^n$ . Then the *hypothesis* implies  $n < 6$ .



## Super hits

- ▶ Instead of something linear in  $\text{rad}(ABC)$  let us take something quadratic.  
Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^2$  ?
- ▶ Answer: Unknown
- ▶ Working *hypothesis*: For every  $ABC$ -triple:  $C < \text{rad}(ABC)^2$ .
- ▶ Consequence: Let  $x, y, z, n$  be positive integers such that  $\gcd(x, y, z) = 1$  and  $x^n + y^n = z^n$ . Then the *hypothesis* implies  $n < 6$ .
- ▶ Proof: Apply the *hypothesis* to the triple  $A = x^n, B = y^n, C = z^n$ . Notice that  $\text{rad}(x^n y^n z^n) \leq xyz < z^3$ . So,  $z^n < (z^3)^2 = z^6$ . Hence  $n < 6$ . Fermat's Last Theorem for  $n \geq 6$  follows!

# Formulation

- ▶ Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.5}$ ?

# Formulation

- ▶ Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.5}$ ?
- ▶ or  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.05}$ ?

# Formulation

- ▶ Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.5}$ ?
- ▶ or  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.05}$ ?
- ▶ or  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.005}$ ?

# Formulation

- ▶ Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.5}$ ?
- ▶ or  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.05}$ ?
- ▶ or  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.005}$ ?
- ▶ We expect at most finitely many instances.

## Formulation

- ▶ Question: Are there  $ABC$ -triples such that  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.5}$ ?
- ▶ or  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.05}$ ?
- ▶ or  $C > \text{rad}(ABC)^{1.005}$ ?
- ▶ We expect at most finitely many instances.
- ▶ ABC-Conjecture (Masser-Oesterlé, 1985): *Let  $\kappa > 1$ . Then, with finitely many exceptions we have  $C < \text{rad}(ABC)^\kappa$ .*

## Fermat-Catalan

The Fermat-Catalan equation  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  in  $x, y, z$  *coprime* positive integers. Of course we assume  $p, q, r > 1$ . We distinguish three cases.

## Fermat-Catalan

The Fermat-Catalan equation  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  in  $x, y, z$  *coprime* positive integers. Of course we assume  $p, q, r > 1$ . We distinguish three cases.

- ▶ 1)  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} > 1$ . It is an exercise to show that  $(p, q, r)$  is a permutation of one of  $(2, 2, k), (2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 5)$ . In any such case the number of solutions is infinite.



## Fermat-Catalan

The Fermat-Catalan equation  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  in  $x, y, z$  *coprime* positive integers. Of course we assume  $p, q, r > 1$ . We distinguish three cases.

- ▶ 1)  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} > 1$ . It is an exercise to show that  $(p, q, r)$  is a permutation of one of  $(2, 2, k), (2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 5)$ . In any such case the number of solutions is infinite.
- ▶ 2)  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ . Again it is an exercise to show that  $(p, q, r)$  is a permutation of one of  $(2, 4, 4), (2, 3, 6), (3, 3, 3)$ . There are finitely many solutions.

## Fermat-Catalan

The Fermat-Catalan equation  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  in  $x, y, z$  *coprime* positive integers. Of course we assume  $p, q, r > 1$ . We distinguish three cases.

- ▶ 1)  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} > 1$ . It is an exercise to show that  $(p, q, r)$  is a permutation of one of  $(2, 2, k), (2, 3, 3), (2, 3, 4), (2, 3, 5)$ . In any such case the number of solutions is infinite.
- ▶ 2)  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$ . Again it is an exercise to show that  $(p, q, r)$  is a permutation of one of  $(2, 4, 4), (2, 3, 6), (3, 3, 3)$ . There are finitely many solutions.
- ▶ 3)  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$ . There are infinitely many possible triples  $(p, q, r)$ . For any such triple the number of solutions is at most finite (Darmon-Granville, 1995).

## Numeric results

$$1^k + 2^3 = 3^2 \quad (k > 6), \quad 13^2 + 7^3 = 2^9, \quad 2^7 + 17^3 = 71^2$$

$$2^5 + 7^2 = 3^4, \quad 3^5 + 11^4 = 122^2, \quad 17^7 + 76271^3 = 21063928^2$$

$$1414^3 + 2213459^2 = 65^7, \quad 33^8 + 1549034^2 = 15613^3$$

$$43^8 + 96222^3 = 30042907^2, \quad 9262^3 + 15312283^2 = 113^7.$$

## Fermat-Catalan conjecture

Consequence of *ABC*-conjecture:

*The set of triples  $x^p, y^q, z^r$  with  $x, y, z$  coprime positive integers such that  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  and  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$ , is finite.*

## Fermat-Catalan conjecture

Consequence of *ABC*-conjecture:

*The set of triples  $x^p, y^q, z^r$  with  $x, y, z$  coprime positive integers such that  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  and  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$ , is finite.*

- ▶ Observation,  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$  implies  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r \leq 1 - 1/42$ .

## Fermat-Catalan conjecture

Consequence of *ABC*-conjecture:

*The set of triples  $x^p, y^q, z^r$  with  $x, y, z$  coprime positive integers such that  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  and  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$ , is finite.*

- ▶ Observation,  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$  implies  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r \leq 1 - 1/42$ .
- ▶ Apply *ABC* with  $\kappa = 1.01$  to  $A = x^p, B = y^q, C = z^r$ . Notice that  $\text{rad}(x^r y^q z^r) \leq xyz < z^{r/p} z^{r/q} z$ .

## Fermat-Catalan conjecture

Consequence of *ABC*-conjecture:

*The set of triples  $x^p, y^q, z^r$  with  $x, y, z$  coprime positive integers such that  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  and  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$ , is finite.*

- ▶ Observation,  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$  implies  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r \leq 1 - 1/42$ .
- ▶ Apply *ABC* with  $\kappa = 1.01$  to  $A = x^p, B = y^q, C = z^r$ . Notice that  $\text{rad}(x^r y^q z^r) \leq xyz < z^{r/p} z^{r/q} z$ .
- ▶ Hence, with finitely many exceptions we get

$$z^r < z^{\kappa(r/p+r/q+1)}$$

## Fermat-Catalan conjecture

Consequence of *ABC*-conjecture:

*The set of triples  $x^p, y^q, z^r$  with  $x, y, z$  coprime positive integers such that  $x^p + y^q = z^r$  and  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$ , is finite.*

- ▶ Observation,  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r < 1$  implies  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r \leq 1 - 1/42$ .
- ▶ Apply *ABC* with  $\kappa = 1.01$  to  $A = x^p, B = y^q, C = z^r$ . Notice that  $\text{rad}(x^r y^q z^r) \leq xyz < z^{r/p} z^{r/q} z$ .
- ▶ Hence, with finitely many exceptions we get

$$z^r < z^{\kappa(r/p + r/q + 1)}$$

- ▶ This implies  $r < \kappa(r/p + r/q + 1)$  and hence  $1 < \kappa(1/p + 1/q + 1/r)$ . But this is impossible because  $\kappa = 1.01$  and  $1/p + 1/q + 1/r \leq 1 - 1/42$ .



# Catalan

As a special case we see that  $x^p - y^q = 1$  has finitely many solutions.

But this was shown in 1974 by Tijdeman and completely solved in 2002 by Michaillecu.

## Mordell's conjecture

Consider a diophantine equation  $P(x, y) = 0$  in the unknown rational numbers  $x, y$ .

For example

$$x^5 + 3x^2y - y^3 + 1 = 0, \quad x^4 + y^4 + 3xy + x^3 - y^3 = 0, \text{ etc.}$$

## Mordell's conjecture

Consider a diophantine equation  $P(x, y) = 0$  in the unknown rational numbers  $x, y$ .

For example

$$x^5 + 3x^2y - y^3 + 1 = 0, \quad x^4 + y^4 + 3xy + x^3 - y^3 = 0, \text{ etc.}$$

Noam Elkies (1991) observed:

The *ABC*-conjecture implies: If  $\text{genus}(P) > 1$  then the number of rational solutions to  $P(x, y) = 0$  is at most finite.

## Mordell's conjecture

Consider a diophantine equation  $P(x, y) = 0$  in the unknown rational numbers  $x, y$ .

For example

$$x^5 + 3x^2y - y^3 + 1 = 0, \quad x^4 + y^4 + 3xy + x^3 - y^3 = 0, \text{ etc.}$$

Noam Elkies (1991) observed:

The *ABC*-conjecture implies: If  $\text{genus}(P) > 1$  then the number of rational solutions to  $P(x, y) = 0$  is at most finite.

Previously known as Mordell's conjecture (1923) and Faltings' theorem (1983).

## Schinzel-Tijdeman theorem

- ▶ An integer  $n$  is called a *perfect power* if it is either a square, a cube, a fourth power, etc of another integer.

## Schinzel-Tijdeman theorem

- ▶ An integer  $n$  is called a *perfect power* if it is either a square, a cube, a fourth power, etc of another integer.
- ▶ Let  $P(x)$  be a polynomial with integer coefficients and at least three simple zeros.

## Schinzel-Tijdeman theorem

- ▶ An integer  $n$  is called a *perfect power* if it is either a square, a cube, a fourth power, etc of another integer.
- ▶ Let  $P(x)$  be a polynomial with integer coefficients and at least three simple zeros.
- ▶ Theorem (Schinzel-Tijdeman, 1976) Among the numbers  $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$  there are at most finitely many perfect powers.

## Schinzel-Tijdeman theorem

- ▶ An integer  $n$  is called a *perfect power* if it is either a square, a cube, a fourth power, etc of another integer.
- ▶ Let  $P(x)$  be a polynomial with integer coefficients and at least three simple zeros.
- ▶ Theorem (Schinzel-Tijdeman, 1976) Among the numbers  $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$  there are at most finitely many perfect powers.
- ▶ Example:  $P(x) = x^3 + 17$ . We have  
 $2^3 + 17 = 5^2$ ,  $4^3 + 17 = 9^2$ ,  $8^3 + 17 = 23^2$ ,  $43^3 + 17 = 282^2$   
 $52^3 + 17 = 375^2$ ,  $5234^3 + 17 = 378661^2$ .



# Schinzel-Tijdeman conjecture

- ▶ An integer  $n$  is called *powerfull* if all of its prime divisors occur with exponent 2 or higher in the prime factorisation.

## Schinzel-Tijdeman conjecture

- ▶ An integer  $n$  is called *powerfull* if all of its prime divisors occur with exponent 2 or higher in the prime factorisation.
- ▶ Gary Walsh (1998) observed that the *ABC*-conjecture implies the Schinzel-Tijdeman conjecture: *among the numbers  $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$  there are at most finitely many powerful numbers.*

## Schinzel-Tijdeman conjecture

- ▶ An integer  $n$  is called *powerfull* if all of its prime divisors occur with exponent 2 or higher in the prime factorisation.
- ▶ Gary Walsh (1998) observed that the ABC-conjecture implies the Schinzel-Tijdeman conjecture: *among the numbers  $P(1), P(2), P(3), \dots$  there are at most finitely many powerful numbers.*
- ▶ Example:  $P(x) = x^3 + 17$ . We have  
 $2^3 + 17 = 5^2$ ,  $4^3 + 17 = 9^2$ ,  $8^3 + 17 = 23^2$ ,  $43^3 + 17 = 282^2$   
 $52^3 + 17 = 375^2$ ,  $5234^3 + 17 = 378661^2$ .

# State of knowledge

What do we know about  $ABC$ ?

# State of knowledge

What do we know about  $ABC$ ?

Stewart, Kunrui Yu (1996): *For any  $\epsilon > 0$ :*

$$C < \exp\left(\gamma \operatorname{rad}(ABC)^{1/3+\epsilon}\right)$$

*where  $\gamma$  depends on the choice of  $\epsilon$ .*

## An analogy

Why do we believe in  $ABC$  ?

## An analogy

Why do we believe in  $ABC$  ?

There is an analogy with polynomials with rational numbers as coefficients:  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

## An analogy

Why do we believe in  $ABC$  ?

There is an analogy with polynomials with rational numbers as coefficients:  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

A polynomial  $F(x)$  with rational coefficients and leading coefficient 1 is called *prime* if it cannot be factored into polynomials with rational coefficients and lower degree.



## An analogy

Why do we believe in  $ABC$  ?

There is an analogy with polynomials with rational numbers as coefficients:  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

A polynomial  $F(x)$  with rational coefficients and leading coefficient 1 is called *prime* if it cannot be factored into polynomials with rational coefficients and lower degree.

*Theorem: Any polynomial with rational numbers as coefficient can be written in a unique way as a constant times a product of prime polynomials.*

## Factors of polynomials

For example:  $x^2 + 1$ , whereas  $x^2 - 1$  is reducible. Example of a factorisation:

$$\begin{aligned}x^{21} - 1 &= (x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1) \times \\ &\quad (x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1) \times \\ &\quad (x^{12} - x^{11} + x^9 - x^8 + x^6 - x^4 + x^3 - x + 1).\end{aligned}$$

Degree of a polynomial  $F$ :  $\deg(F)$ .

The *radical* of a polynomial  $F(x)$  is the product of the prime polynomials dividing  $F(x)$ . Notation  $\text{rad}(F)$ .

## PQR-Theorem

*PQR*-Theorem (Hurwitz, Stothers, Mason): Let  $P, Q, R$  be coprime polynomials, not all constant, such that  $P + Q = R$ . Suppose that  $\deg(R) \geq \deg(P), \deg(Q)$ . Then

$$\deg(R) < \deg(\text{rad}(PQR)).$$

## PQR-Theorem

*PQR*-Theorem (Hurwitz, Stothers, Mason): Let  $P, Q, R$  be coprime polynomials, not all constant, such that  $P + Q = R$ . Suppose that  $\deg(R) \geq \deg(P), \deg(Q)$ . Then

$$\deg(R) < \deg(\text{rad}(PQR)).$$

Translation to *ABC*: Replace  $P, Q, R$  by  $A, B, C$  and  $\deg$  by  $\log$ . Note the analogy:  $\deg(PQ) = \deg(P) + \deg(Q)$  for polynomials and  $\log(ab) = \log(a) + \log(b)$  for numbers. We get:

$$\log(C) < \log(\text{rad}(ABC)).$$

## Proof of PQR, I

Observe that for any polynomial  $F$ ,

$$\text{rad}(F) = F / \gcd(F, F')$$

## Proof of PQR, I

Observe that for any polynomial  $F$ ,

$$\text{rad}(F) = F / \gcd(F, F')$$

Example,  $F = x^3(x - 1)^5$ . Then  $F' = (8x - 5)x^2(x - 1)^4$ . Hence  $\gcd(F, F') = x^2(x - 1)^4$  and  $F / \gcd(F, F') = x(x - 1)$ .

## Proof of PQR, I

Observe that for any polynomial  $F$ ,

$$\text{rad}(F) = F / \gcd(F, F')$$

Example,  $F = x^3(x - 1)^5$ . Then  $F' = (8x - 5)x^2(x - 1)^4$ . Hence  $\gcd(F, F') = x^2(x - 1)^4$  and  $F / \gcd(F, F') = x(x - 1)$ .

Start with

$$P + Q = R$$

and differentiate:

$$P' + Q' = R'$$

## Proof of PQR, I

Observe that for any polynomial  $F$ ,

$$\text{rad}(F) = F / \gcd(F, F')$$

Example,  $F = x^3(x - 1)^5$ . Then  $F' = (8x - 5)x^2(x - 1)^4$ . Hence  $\gcd(F, F') = x^2(x - 1)^4$  and  $F / \gcd(F, F') = x(x - 1)$ .

Start with

$$P + Q = R$$

and differentiate:

$$P' + Q' = R'$$

Multiply first equality by  $P'$ , second equality by  $P$  and subtract,

$$P'Q - pQ' = P'R - PR'$$



## Proof of PQR, II

$$P'Q - pQ' = P'R - PR'$$

## Proof of PQR, II

$$P'Q - pQ' = P'R - PR'$$

So,  $\gcd(R, R')$  divides  $P'Q - PQ'$ . A fortiori,  $\gcd(R, R')$  divides

$$\frac{P'Q - PQ'}{\gcd(P, P') \gcd(Q, Q')}.$$

## Proof of PQR, II

$$P'Q - pQ' = P'R - PR'$$

So,  $\gcd(R, R')$  divides  $P'Q - PQ'$ . A fortiori,  $\gcd(R, R')$  divides

$$\frac{P'Q - PQ'}{\gcd(P, P') \gcd(Q, Q')}.$$

Consequently, if  $P'Q - pQ' \neq 0$ ,

$$\deg(\gcd(R, R')) < \deg(\text{rad}(P)) + \deg(\text{rad}(Q)) = \deg(\text{rad}(PQ)).$$

## Proof of PQR, II

$$P'Q - pQ' = P'R - PR'$$

So,  $\gcd(R, R')$  divides  $P'Q - PQ'$ . A fortiori,  $\gcd(R, R')$  divides

$$\frac{P'Q - PQ'}{\gcd(P, P') \gcd(Q, Q')}.$$

Consequently, if  $P'Q - pQ' \neq 0$ ,

$$\deg(\gcd(R, R')) < \deg(\text{rad}(P)) + \deg(\text{rad}(Q)) = \deg(\text{rad}(PQ)).$$

Add  $\deg(R/\gcd(R, R')) = \deg(\text{rad}(R))$  to get

$$\deg(R) < \deg(\text{rad}(PQR)).$$

## Proof of PQR, II

$$P'Q - pQ' = P'R - PR'$$

So,  $\gcd(R, R')$  divides  $P'Q - PQ'$ . A fortiori,  $\gcd(R, R')$  divides

$$\frac{P'Q - PQ'}{\gcd(P, P') \gcd(Q, Q')}.$$

Consequently, if  $P'Q - pQ' \neq 0$ ,

$$\deg(\gcd(R, R')) < \deg(\text{rad}(P)) + \deg(\text{rad}(Q)) = \deg(\text{rad}(PQ)).$$

Add  $\deg(R/\gcd(R, R')) = \deg(\text{rad}(R))$  to get

$$\deg(R) < \deg(\text{rad}(PQR)).$$

If  $P'Q - PQ' = 0$ , then  $P/Q$  is constant and all of  $P, Q, R$  are constant.

# The quest

Main questions

# The quest

## Main questions

- ▶ If the  $ABC$ -conjecture is true, there should be a minimal number  $\kappa$  such that  $C \geq \text{rad}(ABC)^\kappa$  for all  $ABC$ -triples. What is the value of  $\kappa$  ?

# The quest

## Main questions

- ▶ If the  $ABC$ -conjecture is true, there should be a minimal number  $\kappa$  such that  $C \geq \text{rad}(ABC)^\kappa$  for all  $ABC$ -triples. What is the value of  $\kappa$  ?
- ▶ How does the number of  $ABC$ -hits with  $C < X$  grow as  $X \rightarrow \infty$  ? Are there distribution laws? How are the ratios  $\log(C)/\log(\text{rad}(ABC))$  distributed?



# The quest

## Main questions

- ▶ If the  $ABC$ -conjecture is true, there should be a minimal number  $\kappa$  such that  $C \geq \text{rad}(ABC)^\kappa$  for all  $ABC$ -triples. What is the value of  $\kappa$  ?
- ▶ How does the number of  $ABC$ -hits with  $C < X$  grow as  $X \rightarrow \infty$  ? Are there distribution laws? How are the ratios  $\log(C)/\log(\text{rad}(ABC))$  distributed?

Happy hunting, or fishing!