SYMMETRIC ROOTS AND ADMISSIBLE PAIRING
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Abstract. Using the discriminant modular form and the Noether formula it is possible to write the admissible self-intersection of the relative dualising sheaf of a semistable hyperelliptic curve over a number field or function field as a sum, over all places, of a certain adelic invariant \( \chi \). We provide a simple geometric interpretation for this invariant \( \chi \), based on the arithmetic of symmetric roots. We propose the conjecture that the invariant \( \chi \) coincides with the invariant \( \phi \) introduced in a recent paper by S.-W. Zhang.

1. Introduction

Let \( X \) be a hyperelliptic curve of genus \( g \geq 2 \) over a field \( K \) which is either a number field or the function field of a curve over a field. Assume that \( X \) has semistable reduction over \( K \). Using the discriminant modular form \( \Lambda_g \) of weight \( 8g + 4 \), appropriately normalised, it is possible to define, for each place \( v \) of \( K \), a real-valued invariant \( \chi(X_v) \) of \( X \otimes K_v \), satisfying the following two properties:

(i) \( \chi(X_v) = 0 \) if \( v \) is non-archimedean and \( X \) has good reduction at \( v \);
(ii) for the admissible self-intersection of the relative dualising sheaf \((\omega, \omega)_a\) of \( X \) the formula:

\[
(\omega, \omega)_a = \frac{2g - 2}{2g + 1} \sum_v \chi(X_v) \log N_v
\]

holds.

Here the \( N_v \) are the usual local factors related to the product formula for \( K \). We proceed as follows, referring to Section 4 for the precise details. Let \( d(X_v) \) denote the local “order of vanishing” of \( \Lambda_g \) at \( v \), so that:

\[
(8g + 4) \deg \det R\pi_*\omega = \sum_v d(X_v) \log N_v,
\]

with \( \deg \det R\pi_*\omega \) denoting the geometric or non-normalised Faltings height of \( X \). Let \( \varepsilon(X_v) \) be the local difference between \((\omega, \omega)_a\) and the usual self-intersection of the relative dualising sheaf \((\omega, \omega)\), so that:

\[
(\omega, \omega)_a = (\omega, \omega) - \sum_v \varepsilon(X_v) \log N_v.
\]

Finally let \( \delta(X_v) \) be the number of singular points in the special fiber of \( X \) at \( v \) if \( v \) is non-archimedean, and let \( \delta(X_v) = -4g \log(2\pi) + \delta_F(X_v) \) if \( v \) is archimedean.
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with $\delta_F(X_v)$ the Faltings delta-invariant [3, p. 402] of the compact Riemann surface associated to $X \otimes K_v$. Then we put:

$$(2g - 2)\chi(X_v) = 3d(X_v) - (2g + 1)(\varepsilon(X_v) + \delta(X_v)).$$

The invariants $d$, $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$ vanish if $v$ is non-archimedean and $X$ has good reduction at $v$. Hence one has property (i) for $\chi$. Property (ii) follows by the Noether formula:

$$12 \deg \det R\pi_*\omega = (\omega, \omega) + \sum_v \delta(X_v) \log N_v.$$  

From results of A. Moriwaki [13] and K. Yamaki [16] it follows that $\chi(X_v)$ is strictly positive if $v$ is non-archimedean and $X$ has non-smooth reduction at $v$. In fact they prove a precise lower bound for $\chi(X_v)$ in terms of the geometry of the special fiber at $v$. This yields as a corollary an effective proof of the Bogomolov conjecture for $X$, i.e. the strict positivity of $(\omega, \omega)_a$ if $X$ has a non-isotrivial model, in the function field case.

Our purpose is to give a geometric interpretation of the invariant $\chi$. Fix, for each place $v$ of $K$, an algebraic closure $\bar{K}_v$ of $K_v$. Endow each $\bar{K}_v$ with its canonical absolute value $| \cdot |_v$ (see Section 3). Then we prove:

**Theorem A.** Let $(\omega, \omega)$ be the Deligne self-pairing of the dualising sheaf $\omega$ of $X$ on $\text{Spec}(K)$. There exists a canonical section $q$ of $(2g + 1)(\omega, \omega)$ on $\text{Spec}(K)$, obtained by pullback from the moduli stack of smooth hyperelliptic curves of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, such that the equality:

$$- \log |q|_v = (2g - 2)\chi(X_v)$$

holds for each place $v$ of $K$. Here $| \cdot |_v$ is Zhang’s admissible norm on $(2g + 1)(\omega, \omega)$ at $v$.

Our construction of $q$ yields the following simple formula for $\chi$.

**Theorem B.** Assume that $K$ does not have characteristic 2. Let $v$ be a place of $K$, and let $w_1, \ldots, w_{2g+2}$ on $X \otimes \bar{K}_v$ be the Weierstrass points of $X \otimes \bar{K}_v$. Then for each $i = 1, \ldots, 2g + 2$ the formula:

$$\chi(X_v) = -2g \left( \log |2|_v + \sum_{k \neq i} (w_i, w_k)_a \right)$$

holds, where $(,)_a$ is Zhang’s admissible pairing on $\text{Div}(X \otimes \bar{K}_v)$.

In a recent paper [18] S.-W. Zhang introduced, for any smooth projective geometrically connected curve $X$ of genus at least 2 over $\bar{K}$, an invariant $\varphi(X_v)$ for each $X \otimes \bar{K}_v$, such that property (i) holds for $\varphi$, and property (ii) holds for $\varphi$ if $X$ is hyperelliptic. We propose the conjecture that $\varphi$ and $\chi$ are equal for all hyperelliptic curves over $\bar{K}$ and all places of $K$. This conjecture turns out to be true in the case $g = 2$. As we will explain below, this gives a new proof of the Bogomolov conjecture for curves of genus 2 over number fields.

The main tools in this paper are moduli of (pointed) stable hyperelliptic curves and the arithmetic of symmetric roots of $X$. These symmetric roots were extensively studied by J. Guàrdia [4] in the context of an effective Torelli theorem for hyperelliptic period matrices. They are defined as follows. Let $\kappa$ be any field of characteristic not equal to 2 and let $X$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $\kappa$. Fix a separable algebraic closure $\bar{\kappa}$ of $\kappa$. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_{2g+2}$ on $X \otimes \bar{\kappa}$ be...
the Weierstrass points of $X \otimes \bar{\kappa}$, and let $h : X \to \mathbb{P}^1_\kappa$ be the quotient under the hyperelliptic involution of $X$. Fix a coordinate $x$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_\kappa$, and suppose that $w_i$ gets mapped to $a_i$ on $\mathbb{P}^1_\kappa$. Take a pair $(w_i, w_j)$ of distinct Weierstrass points, and let $\tau$ be an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1_\kappa$ such that $\tau(a_i) = 0$, $\tau(a_j) = \infty$, and $\prod_{k \neq i,j} \tau(a_k) = 1$. The $\tau(a_k)$ for $k \neq i, j$ are finite, non-zero, and well-defined up to a common scalar from $\mu_{2g}$, the set of $2g$-th roots of unity in $\kappa$. The resulting subset of $S_{2g} \setminus \bar{\kappa}^g/\mu_{2g}$ is denoted by $\{\ell_{ijk}\}_{k \neq i,j}$ and is called the set of symmetric roots on $X$ and $(w_i, w_j)$. This is clearly an invariant of $X$ and the pair $(w_i, w_j)$ over $\kappa$. It is easily checked that the formula:

$$\ell_{ijk} = \frac{a_i - a_k}{a_j - a_k} \prod_{r \neq i,j} \frac{(a_j - a_r)}{(a_i - a_r)}$$

holds for each $k \neq i, j$. This formula of course has to be interpreted appropriately if one of the $a_i, a_j, a_k$ equals infinity. For each given $k \neq i, j$, the element $\ell_{ijk}^{g}$ of $\bar{\kappa}$ lies in the field of definition inside $\bar{\kappa}$ of the triple $(w_i, w_j, w_k)$.

Our main result Theorem 3.1 gives a description of a power of $\ell_{ijk}^{g}$ as a rational function on the moduli stack of hyperelliptic curves with three marked Weierstrass points. As a corollary of this result we obtain the following remarkable formula, expressing the norm of a symmetric root as a special value of Zhang’s admissible pairing on divisors.

**Theorem C.** Assume that $K$ does not have characteristic 2. Let $v$ be a place of $K$, and let $w_i, w_j, w_k$ be three distinct Weierstrass points on $X \otimes K_v$. Let $\ell_{ijk}$ in $K_v^\infty/\mu_{2g}$ be the symmetric root on the triple $(w_i, w_j, w_k)$. Then the formula:

$$(w_i - w_j, w_k)_{v} = -\frac{1}{2} \log |\ell_{ijk}|_v$$

holds.

We mention that for an archimedean place $v$ this result says that:

$$\frac{G_v(w_i, w_k)}{G_v(w_j, w_k)} = \sqrt{|\ell_{ijk}|_v},$$

where $G_v$ is the Arakelov-Green’s function on the compact Riemann surface $X(K_v)$. We do not know a proof of Theorem C that does not use degeneration arguments, not even in the archimedean case.

The main result and Theorem C are proven in Section 3. After recalling the $\chi$-invariant in Section 4 we give in Section 5 our more intrinsic approach to $\chi$ and prove Theorems A and B. In Section 6 we compare $\chi$ with Zhang’s $\varphi$-invariant. Throughout, the reader is assumed to be familiar with the theory of admissible pairing on curves as in [17]. All schemes and algebraic stacks in this paper are assumed locally noetherian and separated.

2. Admissible pairing and relative dualising sheaf

We begin with a useful description of $\langle \omega, \omega \rangle$ for families of semistable hyperelliptic curves. The contents of this section straightforwardly generalise those of [2, Section 1] which treats the genus 2 case.

We start with a few definitions. Let $S$ be a (locally noetherian, separated) scheme. A proper flat family $\pi : X \to S$ of curves of genus $g \geq 2$ is called a smooth hyperelliptic curve over $S$ if $\pi$ is smooth and admits an involution $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_S(X)$
such that $\sigma$ restricts to a hyperelliptic involution in each geometric fiber of $\pi$. If $\pi$ is a smooth hyperelliptic curve, the involution $\sigma$ is uniquely determined. We call $\pi: X \to S$ a generically smooth semistable hyperelliptic curve if $\pi$ is semistable, and there exist an open dense subscheme $U$ of $S$ and an involution $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_S(X)$ such that $X_U$ together with the restriction of $\sigma$ to $X_U$ is a smooth hyperelliptic curve over $U$. Again, if $\pi$ is a generically smooth semistable hyperelliptic curve, the involution $\sigma$ is unique; we call $\sigma$ the hyperelliptic involution of $X$ over $S$.

Let $\pi: X \to S$ be a generically smooth semistable hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Let $\omega$ be the relative dualising sheaf of $\pi$ and let $W$ be a $\sigma$-invariant section of $\pi$ with image in the smooth locus of $\pi$. The image of $W$ in $X$ induces a relative Cartier divisor on $X$ which we also denote by $W$. We make the convention that whenever a Cartier divisor on a scheme is given, the associated line bundle will be denoted by the same symbol. Moreover we use additive notation for the tensor product of line bundles.

Assume for the moment that $\pi$ is smooth. By [5, Lemma 6.2] there exists a unique isomorphism:

$$\omega \xrightarrow{\cong} (2g - 2)W - (2g - 1)\pi^*\langle W, W \rangle,$$

compatible with base change, such that pullback along $W$ induces the adjunction isomorphism:

$$\langle W, \omega \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} - \langle W, W \rangle$$
on $S$. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes Deligne pairing of line bundles on $X$. Now drop the condition that $\pi$ is smooth. By the above isomorphisms we have a canonical non-zero rational section $s$ of the line bundle:

$$\omega - (2g - 2)W + (2g - 1)\pi^*\langle W, W \rangle$$
on $X$. Denote by $V$ its divisor on $X$; then $V$ is disjoint from the smooth fibers of $\pi$, and $W^*V = \langle W, V \rangle$ is canonically trivial on $S$.

Next let $(W_i, W_k)$ be a pair of $\sigma$-invariant sections of $\pi$ with image in the smooth locus of $\pi$. Denote by $V_i, V_k$ the associated Cartier divisors supported in the non-smooth fibers of $\pi$. We define a line bundle $Q_{ik}$ on $S$ associated to $(W_i, W_k)$ as follows:

$$Q_{ik} = -4g(g - 1)\langle W_i, W_k \rangle - \langle W_i, V_k \rangle - \langle V_i, W_k \rangle + \langle V_i, V_k \rangle.$$ Note that $Q_{ik}$ has a canonical non-zero rational section $q_{ik}$. We have a canonical symmetry isomorphism $Q_{ik} \xrightarrow{\cong} Q_{ki}$ sending $q_{ik}$ to $q_{ki}$. If $S$ is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring we have the following functoriality of $(Q_{ik}, q_{ik})$ in passing from $\pi: X \to S$ to a minimal desingularisation $\rho: X' \to X$ of $X$ over $S$: the sections $W_i, W_k$ lift to $\sigma$-invariant sections of $X'$, and one obtains the relative dualising sheaf of $X'$ over $S$ as the pullback of $\omega$ along $\rho$. It follows that the $V_i, V_k$ of $X'$ over $S$ are obtained by pullback as well, and so the formation of $Q_{ik}$ and its canonical rational section $q_{ik}$ are compatible with the passage from $X$ to $X'$.

Assume that $S$ is an integral scheme.

**Proposition 2.1.** There exists a canonical isomorphism:

$$\varphi_{ik}: \langle \omega, \omega \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} Q_{ik}$$
of line bundles on $S$, compatible with any dominant base change. Let $K$ be either a complete discrete valuation field or $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\overline{K}$ be an algebraic closure of $K$. 

If $S = \text{Spec}(K)$ then $\varphi_{ik}$ is an isometry for the admissible metrics on both $(\omega, \omega)$ and $Q_{ik}$.

Proof. By construction of $V_i$ we have a canonical isomorphism:

\[ (2.1) \quad \omega \xrightarrow{\cong} (2g-2)V_i + (2g-1)\pi^*(W_i, W_i) \]

on $X$. Hence we have:

\[ (2.2) \quad (2g-2)(W_i - W_k) \xrightarrow{\cong} V_k - V_i + (2g-1)\pi^*(W_i, W_i) - (2g-1)\pi^*(W_k, W_k), \]

canonically. Using pullback along $W_i$ and $W_k$ we find canonical isomorphisms:

\[ (2g-2)(W_i - W_k, W_i - W_k) \xrightarrow{\cong} (V_k - V_i, W_i - W_k) \]

\[ \xrightarrow{\cong} (V_i, W_i) + (V_i, W_k). \]

Hence we find:

\[ (2.3) \quad (2g-2)((W_i, W_i) + (W_k, W_k)) \xrightarrow{\cong} (W_i, V_k) + (V_i, W_k) + 4(g-1)(W_i, W_k). \]

Also from (2.1) one obtains:

\[ -(2g-1)(W_k, \pi^*(W_i, W_i)) \xrightarrow{\cong} -(W_k, W_k) - (2g-2)(W_i, W_k) - (V_i, W_k) \]

by using the adjunction isomorphism:

\[ (2.4) \quad \langle W_k, \omega \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} -(W_k, W_k), \]

and likewise:

\[ -(2g-1)(W_i, \pi^*(W_k, W_k)) \xrightarrow{\cong} -(W_i, W_i) - (2g-2)(W_i, W_k) - (V_i, W_k). \]

Adding these two isomorphisms, multiplying by $2g-2$, and using (2.3) we obtain:

\[ -(2g-2)(2g-1)((W_i, \pi^*(W_k, W_k)) + (W_k, \pi^*(W_i, W_i))) \xrightarrow{\cong} -(2g-1)((W_i, V_k) + (V_i, W_k)) - 4(g-1)(2g-1)(W_i, W_k). \]

Now note that:

\[ \langle \omega, \omega \rangle \xrightarrow{\cong} (2g-2)^2(W_i, W_k) + (2g-2)((W_i, V_k) + (V_i, W_k)) + (V_i, V_k) \]

\[ -(2g-2)(2g-1)((W_i, \pi^*(W_k, W_k)) + (W_k, \pi^*(W_i, W_i))). \]

Plugging in the previous result gives the required isomorphism. To see that $\varphi_{ik}$ is an isometry for the admissible metrics on both sides if $S = \text{Spec}(K)$ with $K$ a complete discretely valued field or $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ it suffices to verify that both (2.1) and the adjunction isomorphism (2.4) are isometries for the admissible metrics. But that (2.4) is an isometry for the admissible metrics is precisely in [17], sections 2.7 and 4.1. That (2.1) is an isometry for the admissible metrics follows since both sides of (2.1) have the same curvature form on $X \otimes K$, and the restriction of (2.1) to $W_i$ is precisely the adjunction isometry (2.4).
3. Main result

In this section we prove our main result and derive Theorem C from it. Let $S$ be an integral scheme and let $\pi: X \to S$ be a generically smooth semistable hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $S$.

Assume that a triple $(W_i, W_j, W_k)$ of $\sigma$-invariant sections of $\pi$ is given with image in the smooth locus of $\pi$. Assume as well that the generic characteristic of $S$ is not equal to 2. We view the element $\ell_{ijk}^2$, defined fiber by fiber along the non-empty open subscheme of $S$ where $\pi$ is smooth and the residue characteristic is not 2, as a rational section of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_S$ of $S$. On the other hand, from Proposition 2.1 we obtain a canonical isomorphism:

$$\psi_{ijk} = \varphi_{ik} \otimes \varphi_{jk}^{-1} : \quad -Q_{ik} + Q_{jk} \overset{\cong}{\to} \mathcal{O}_S,$$

compatible with dominant base change, and isometric for the admissible metrics on both sides. This yields a rational section of $\mathcal{O}_S$ by taking the image of $q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk}$ under $\psi_{ijk}$. Our main result is that the image of $q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk}$ under $\psi_{ijk}$ is essentially a power of $\ell_{ijk}^2$.

**Theorem 3.1.** $\psi_{ijk}$ maps the rational section $q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk}$ to the rational section $(-\ell_{ijk}^2)^{\nu - 1}$ of $\mathcal{O}_S$.

A first step in the proof is the following result.

**Proposition 3.2.** Assume that $S$ is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring $R$, that $X$ is regular, and that $\pi$ is smooth if the residue characteristic of $R$ is equal to 2. Then the formula:

$$-\nu(q_{ik}) + \nu(q_{jk}) = 2g(g - 1)\nu(\ell_{ijk}),$$

holds, where $\nu(\cdot)$ denotes order of vanishing along the closed point of $S$.

**Proof.** We recall that:

$$Q_{ik} = -4g(g - 1)(W_i, W_k) - (W_i, W_k) - (V_i, W_k) + (V_i, V_k).$$

As $(\langle 2g - 2 \rangle W_i - \omega + V_i, V_k)$ is canonically trivial we have a canonical isomorphism:

$$Q_{ik} \overset{\cong}{\to} -4g(g - 1)(W_i, W_k) - (2g - 1)(W_i, V_k) - (V_i, W_k) + (V_i, \omega)$$

and hence:

$$-\nu(q_{ik}) + \nu(q_{jk}) = 4g(g - 1)(W_i - W_j, W_k) + (2g - 1)(W_i - W_j, V_k) + (V_i - V_j, W_k),$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes intersection product on $\text{Div}(X)$. Our task is thus to show that:

$$4g(g - 1)(W_i - W_j, W_k) + (2g - 1)(W_i - W_j, V_k) + (V_i - V_j, W_k) = 2g(g - 1)\nu(\ell_{ijk}).$$

Let $S' \to S$ be any finite cover of $S$, and let $X' \to S'$ be the minimal desingularisation of the base change of $X \to S$ along $S' \to S$. By functoriality of $(Q_{ik}, q_{jk})$ and invariance under pullback it suffices to prove the formula for $X' \to S'$. We start with the case that the residue characteristic of $R$ is not equal to 2. Let $m$ be the maximal ideal of $R$ and let $K$ be the fraction field of $R$. By [7, Lemma 4.1] we may assume that $X \otimes K$ has an affine equation $y^2 = \prod_{r=1}^{2g+2} (x - a_r)$ such that:

- the $a_r$ are distinct elements of $R$;
- the valuations $\nu(a_r - a_s)$ are even for $r \neq s$;
- the $a_r$ lie in at least 3 distinct residue classes of $R$ modulo $m$. 

As the sections $W_i, W_j, W_k$ are disjoint we are reduced to showing that:

$$(2g-1)(W_i - W_j, W_k) + (V_i - V_j, W_k) = 2g(g-1)\nu(f_{ijk}).$$

Let $\alpha$ be the subset $\{a_1, \ldots, a_{2g+2}\}$ of $R$. To $\alpha$ we associate a finite tree $T$, as follows: for each positive integer $n$ let $\rho_n: \alpha \to R/m^n$ be the canonical residue map. Define $\Lambda_n$ to be the set of residue classes $\lambda$ in $R/m^n$ such that $\rho_n^{-1}(\lambda) \subset \alpha$ has at least 2 elements. The vertices of $T$ are then the elements $\lambda$ of $\Lambda_n$ for $n$ running through the non-negative integers; there are only finitely many such $\lambda$. The edges of $T$ are the pairs $(\lambda, \lambda')$ of vertices $\lambda, \lambda'$ where $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$, $\lambda' \in \Lambda_{n+1}$ and $\lambda' \mapsto \lambda$ under the natural map $\Lambda_{n+1} \to \Lambda_n$, for some $n$. If $\lambda$ is a vertex of $T$ there is a unique $n$ such that $\lambda \in \Lambda_n$; we call $n$ the level of $\lambda$.

Let $F$ be the special fiber of $\pi$ and let $\Gamma$ be the dual graph of $F$. According to [1, Section 5] or [7, Section 4] there is a natural graph morphism $\varphi: \Gamma \to T$. If $C$ is a vertex of $\Gamma$ we denote by $\lambda_C$ the image of $C$ in $T$, and by $n_C$ the level of $\lambda_C$. For each $r = 1, \ldots, 2g+2$ we denote by $C_r$ the unique irreducible component of $F$ through which the $\sigma$-invariant section of $\pi$ corresponding to $a_r$ passes, by $\lambda_r$ the image of $C_r$ in $T$, and by $n_r$ the level of $\lambda_r$. By construction of $\varphi$ the element $a_r$ is a representative of the class $\lambda_r$ and $n_r = \max_{r \neq r'} \nu(a_r - a_{r'}).$

For each irreducible component $C$ of $F$ we choose a representative $a_C$ of $\lambda_C$ in $\alpha$. If $f$ is a non-zero rational function on $X$ we denote by $\nu_C(f)$ the multiplicity of $f$ along $C$. We have:

$$\nu_C(x - a_r) = \min\{n_C, \nu(a_C - a_r)\},$$

independent of the choice of $a_C$, by [7], proof of Lemma 5.1. In particular:

$$\nu_{C_k}(x - a_r) = \begin{cases} \nu(a_k - a_r) & r \neq k \\ n_k & r = k \end{cases}$$

and so:

$$\nu_{C_k}(y) = \frac{1}{2}n_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq k} \nu(a_k - a_r).$$

Now write $V_k = \sum C \mu_k(C) \cdot C$ with $\mu_k(C) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and with $C$ running through the irreducible components of $F$. We claim that:

$$\mu_k(C) = (g - 1) \min\{n_C, \nu(a_k - a_C)\} - \nu_C(y) + n_C - (g - \frac{1}{2})n_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq k} \nu(a_k - a_r)$$

for all $C$. To see this, consider the rational section:

$$\omega_k = (x - a_k)^{g-1} \frac{dx}{y}$$

of $\omega$. Let $\mu'_k(C) = (g - 1)\nu_C(x - a_k) - \nu_C(y) + n_C$. According to [7, Lemma 5.2] we have:

$$\text{div}_X \omega_k = (2g - 2)W_k + \sum C \mu'_k(C) \cdot C.$$

It follows that $V_k$ and $\sum C \mu'_k(C) \cdot C$ differ by a multiple of $F$. As $W_k^*V_k$ is trivial we find that:

$$V_k = \sum C (\mu'_k(C) - \mu'_k(C_k)) \cdot C.$$
As we have:
\[
\mu'_k(C_k) = (g - 1) \nu_{C_1}(x - a_k) - \nu_{C_1}(y) + n_k
\]
\[
= (g - 1)n_k - \frac{1}{2}n_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq k} \nu(a_r - a_k) + n_k
\]
\[
= (g - \frac{1}{2})n_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq k} \nu(a_r - a_k),
\]
the claim follows. As an immediate consequence we have:
\[
(W_i, V_k) = \mu_k(C_i)
\]
\[
= (g - 1) \min \{n_i, \nu(a_k - a_i)\} - \nu_{C_i}(y) + n_i - (g - \frac{1}{2})n_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq k} \nu(a_k - a_r)
\]
\[
= (g - 1) \nu(a_k - a_i) + \frac{1}{2}n_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq i} \nu(a_r - a_i) - (g - \frac{1}{2})n_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq k} \nu(a_k - a_r),
\]
so that:
\[
(W_i - W_j, V_k) = (g - 1) \nu \left( \frac{a_i - a_k}{a_j - a_k} \right) + \frac{1}{2}(n_i - n_j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq i,j} \nu \left( \frac{a_j - a_r}{a_i - a_r} \right),
\]
and in a similar fashion:
\[
(V_i - V_j, W_k) = (g - 1) \nu \left( \frac{a_i - a_k}{a_j - a_k} \right) - (g - \frac{1}{2})(n_i - n_j) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \neq i,j} \nu \left( \frac{a_j - a_r}{a_i - a_r} \right).
\]
This leads to:
\[
(2g-1)(W_i - W_j, V_k) + (V_i - V_j, W_k) = 2g(g-1) \nu \left( \frac{a_i - a_k}{a_j - a_k} \right) + (g-1) \sum_{r \neq i,j} \nu \left( \frac{a_j - a_r}{a_i - a_r} \right),
\]
and the required formula follows.

Next we consider the case that \( R \) does have residue characteristic equal to 2. We have that \( X \to S \) is smooth, and we may assume that all Weierstrass points of \( X \otimes K \) are rational over \( K \), hence extend to sections of \( \pi \). The divisors \( V_i, V_j \) and \( V_k \) are empty, and we are reduced to showing that simply:
\[
2(W_i - W_j, W_k) = \nu(\ell_{ijk}).
\]
Let \( h : X \to Y \) be the quotient of \( X \) by \( \sigma \). According to [10, Section 5] we have that \( Y \to S \) is a smooth proper family of curves of genus 0, and \( h \) is finite flat of degree 2. Let \( P_i, P_j, P_k : S \to Y \) denote the sections \( W_i, W_j, W_k \), composed with \( h \).

By the projection formula we have:
\[
2(W_i, W_k) = (P_i, P_k), \quad 2(W_j, W_k) = (P_j, P_k).
\]
By [7, Lemma 6.1] we may assume that on an affine open subset \( X \) is given by an equation \( y^2 + p(x)y = q(x) \) with \( p, q \in R[x] \) such that \( p^2 + 4q \) is a separable polynomial of degree \( d = 2g + 2 \). As \( p = 0 \) defines the fixed point subscheme of the hyperelliptic involution on the special fiber of \( X \to S \) the coefficients of \( p \) generate the unit ideal in \( R \). It follows that we may even assume after a translation that \( p(0) \) is a unit in \( R \) and subsequently after making a coordinate transformation \( x \mapsto x^{-1} \) that \( p \) has degree \( g + 1 \) and leading coefficient a unit in \( R \). Write \( f = p^2 + 4q \in R[x] \). Then \( f \) has leading coefficient a unit in \( R \) as well. We can write \( f = b \prod_{r=1}^{2g+2} (x-a_r) \)
in $K[x]$, then $b \in R^\times$, and by Gauss’s Lemma the $a_j$ are actually in $R$. Let $a_i, a_j, a_k \in R$ correspond to $P_i, P_j, P_k$. As $y^2 = f(x)$ is a hyperelliptic equation for $X \otimes K$ we have:

$$
\ell_{ijk} = \frac{a_i - a_k}{a_j - a_k} \sqrt[2]{\prod_{r \neq i,j} \frac{a_j - a_r}{a_i - a_r}} = \frac{a_i - a_k}{a_j - a_k} \sqrt[2]{f'(a_j)} \frac{f'(a_i)}{f'(a_i)}
$$

in $K \times /\mu_{2g}$. Since the projection formula:

$$
2(W_i, W_k) = \nu(a_i - a_k), \quad 2(W_j, W_k) = \nu(a_j - a_k),
$$

we are done once we prove that $f'(a_i)/f'(a_j)$ is a unit in $R$. Let $a_j$ be an arbitrary root of $f$: we will show that $\nu(f'(a_j)) = \nu(4)$, so that $\nu(f'(a_j))$ is independent of $r$. From the equation $p(a_j)^2 + 4q(a_j) = 0$ we obtain first of all that $p(a_j)$ is divisible by 2 in $R$. From $f = p^2 + 4q$ in $R[x]$ we obtain $f'(a_j) = 2p(a_j)p'(a_j) + 4q'(a_j)$ so that 4 divides $f'(a_j)$ in $R$ and hence $\nu(f'(a_j)) \geq \nu(4)$. According to [7, Proposition 6.3] we have however:

$$
\sum_{s=1}^{2g+2} \nu(f'(a_s)) = \nu(b^d \cdot \prod_{s \neq t} (a_s - a_t)) = \nu(b^{2d-2} \cdot \prod_{s \neq t} (a_s - a_t)) = (2g + 2)\nu(4),
$$

and we conclude that $\nu(f'(a_j)) = \nu(4)$ as required. \hfill \Box

Let $\mathcal{I}_{g,3}$ be the moduli stack, over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, of pairs $(X \to S, (W_i, W_j, W_k))$ with $S$ a $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$-scheme, $X \to S$ a smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus $g$, and $(W_i, W_j, W_k)$ a triple of distinct $\sigma$-invariant sections of $X \to S$.

**Lemma 3.3.** The moduli stack $\mathcal{I}_{g,3}$ is irreducible, and smooth over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{I}_g$ be the moduli stack of smooth hyperelliptic curves of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, and let $\mathcal{U}_1$ be the universal hyperelliptic curve over $\mathcal{I}_g$. Define inductively for $n \geq 2$ the algebraic stack $\mathcal{U}_n$ over $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ as the base change of $\mathcal{U}_1 \to \mathcal{I}_g$ along $\mathcal{U}_{n-1} \to \mathcal{I}_g$. In particular, the algebraic stack $\mathcal{U}_n$ is a smooth hyperelliptic curve over $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}$, for all $n \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{V}_n$ be the fixed point substack of the hyperelliptic involution of $\mathcal{U}_n$ over $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}$; then $\mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{U}_n$ is a closed immersion, and the induced map $\mathcal{V}_n \to \mathcal{U}_{n-1}$ is finite étale by [10, Corollary 6.8]. We are interested in the cases $n = 1, 2, 3$. Put:

$$
A = \mathcal{V}_2 \times_{\mathcal{U}_1} \mathcal{V}_1, \quad B = \mathcal{V}_3 \times_{\mathcal{U}_1} \mathcal{V}_2, \quad C = A /\mathcal{V}_2 \times B.
$$

As $\mathcal{U}_1$ is naturally the moduli stack of 1-pointed hyperelliptic curves over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, we have a natural map $\mathcal{I}_{g,3} \to \mathcal{U}_1$. It factors via the closed immersion $C \to \mathcal{U}_1$, and the induced map $\mathcal{I}_{g,3} \to C$ is an open immersion. Since $C \to \mathcal{I}_g$ is étale and the structure map $\mathcal{I}_g \to \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$ is smooth (see [8, Theorem 3]), we obtain that $\mathcal{I}_{g,3}$ is smooth over $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$. It follows that the generic points of $\mathcal{I}_{g,3}$ all lie above the generic point of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])$. But $\mathcal{I}_{g,3} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a quotient of the moduli stack of 2g + 2 distinct points on $\mathbb{P}^1$, which is irreducible, hence $\mathcal{I}_{g,3} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is irreducible. It follows that $\mathcal{I}_{g,3}$ is irreducible as well. \hfill \Box

We need a suitable compactification of $\mathcal{I}_{g,3}$. Note that we have a natural closed immersion $\mathcal{I}_{g,3} \to \mathcal{M}_{g,3}$ where $\mathcal{M}_{g,3}$ is the moduli stack, over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, of 3-pointed smooth projective curves of genus $g$. We let $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{g,3}$ be the stack-theoretic closure of $\mathcal{I}_{g,3}$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,3}$, the Knudsen-Mumford compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{g,3}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_{g,3}$ is
integral, and proper and flat over \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \). We have \( \ell_{ijk}^{2g} \) and, via \( \psi_{ijk} \), also \( q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk} \) as rational sections of the structure sheaf of \( T_{g,3}^{*} \). In fact, for every generically smooth semistable hyperelliptic curve \( \pi: X \to S \) with \( S \) an integral \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \)-scheme together with a triple of distinct \( \sigma \)-invariant sections with image in the smooth locus of \( \pi \), we have a natural period map \( S \to T_{g,3}^{*} \) such that both \( q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk} \) and \( \ell_{ijk}^{2g} \) associated to \( \pi \) on \( S \) are obtained by pullback from \( T_{g,3}^{*} \).

It suffices therefore to prove Theorem 3.1 for the tautological curve over \( T_{g,3}^{*} \).

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** We first prove that \( q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk} \) and \( \ell_{ijk}^{2g(g-1)} \) on \( T_{g,3}^{*} \) differ by a unit in \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \). Let \( \nu: T_{g,3}^{*} \to T_{g,3} \) be the normalisation of \( T_{g,3}^{*} \). As \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \) is an excellent ring, the morphism \( \nu \) is finite birational. In particular \( T_{g,3}^{*} \) and \( T_{g,3} \) are isomorphic over an open dense substack. Hence, in order to prove that \( q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk} \) and \( \ell_{ijk}^{2g(g-1)} \) differ by a unit in \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \) it suffices to prove that their pullbacks along \( \nu \) do so over \( T_{g,3}^{*} \). This goes in two steps: first we prove that \( q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk} \) and \( \ell_{ijk}^{2g(g-1)} \) differ by an invertible regular function on \( T_{g,3}^{*} \), and then that the set of such functions is precisely \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2]^{*} \). As to the first step, since \( T_{g,3}^{*} \) is normal, it suffices to prove that for every point \( x' \) of \( T_{g,3}^{*} \) of height 1, the sections \( q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk} \) and \( \ell_{ijk}^{2g(g-1)} \) differ by a unit in the local ring \( O_{x'} \) at \( x' \). So let \( x' \) be a point of height 1 on \( T_{g,3}^{*} \). Let \( \text{Spec}(O_{x'}) \to T_{g,3}^{*} \to T_{g,3} \) be the canonical map. Then the generic point of \( \text{Spec}(O_{x'}) \) maps to the generic point of \( T_{g,3}^{*} \) and by pullback we obtain a generically smooth stable hyperelliptic curve \( \pi': X' \to \text{Spec}(O_{x'}) \) over \( O_{x'} \) together with a triple of distinct \( \sigma \)-invariant sections with image in the smooth locus of \( \pi' \). By functoriality of \( (Q_{ik}, q_{jk}) \) in passing from \( X' \) to a minimal desingularisation of \( X' \) over \( \text{Spec}(O_{x'}) \) we may assume for our purposes that \( X' \) is itself regular and semistable over \( \text{Spec}(O_{x'}) \). Proposition 3.2 then gives us precisely what we need.

Next let \( p: T_{g,3} \to \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \) be the structure map; we claim that \( p_{*}O_{T_{g,3}^{*}} \) is equal to \( O_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])} \). This will prove that the set of invertible regular functions on \( T_{g,3}^{*} \) is equal to \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2]^{*} \). The claim follows from: (i) \( p \) is proper, and (ii) \( p \) is flat and \( T_{g,3}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is irreducible. Indeed, if \( p \) is proper and flat then \( p_{*}O_{T_{g,3}^{*}} \) is a finite torsion-free \( O_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])} \)-module. If moreover \( T_{g,3}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is irreducible then \( p_{*}O_{T_{g,3}^{*}} \) has generic rank 1, and \( p_{*}O_{T_{g,3}^{*}} = O_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2])} \) follows. That (i) is satisfied is clear as both \( \nu \) and \( T_{g,3} \to \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \) are proper. To see (ii), recall that \( T_{g,3} \) is irreducible and has its generic point mapping to the generic point of \( \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \). The same holds for \( T_{g,3}^{*} \) since \( \nu \) is birational; in particular \( T_{g,3}^{*} \) is flat over \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \).

The next step is to prove that the unit \( u \) in \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \) connecting \( q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk} \) and \( \ell_{ijk}^{2g(g-1)} \) is either +1 or −1. For this we use a smooth hyperelliptic curve \( X \to S \) with a triple of \( \sigma \)-invariant sections over the spectrum \( S \) of a discrete valuation ring with residue characteristic equal to 2, and generic characteristic zero (such data exist). We have a period map \( S \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to T_{g,3}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) and applying once more Proposition 3.2 we see that the exponent of 2 in \( u \) is vanishing.

We finally find \( u = (-1)^{g-1} \) from the cocycle relations:

\[
\ell_{ijk}^{2g} \cdot \ell_{jki}^{2g} \cdot \ell_{kji}^{2g} = -1, \quad q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk} \otimes q_{ji}^{-1} \otimes q_{ki} \otimes q_{kj}^{-1} \otimes q_{ij} = 1.
\]
The proof of Theorem 3.1 is finished.

We are now ready to prove Theorem C. Let $K$ be a field which is either a complete discrete valuation field, or $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\bar{K}$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ and endow $\bar{K}$ with its canonical absolute value $|\cdot|$. This absolute value is defined as follows: if $K$ is a complete discrete valuation field, endow $K$ with the absolute value $|\cdot|_K$ such that $|\pi| = 1/e$ for a uniformizer $\pi$ of $K$; we get an absolute value $|\cdot|_\bar{K}$ on $\bar{K}$ by taking the unique extension of $|\cdot|_K$ to $\bar{K}$. If $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $K = \mathbb{C}$ we endow $\bar{K} = \mathbb{C}$ with the standard euclidean norm.

Assume that $K$ does not have characteristic 2.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic curve over $K$, and let $(\cdot)_a$ be Zhang’s admissible pairing on $\text{Div}(X \otimes \bar{K})$. Let $w_i, w_j, w_k$ be three distinct Weierstrass points on $X \otimes \bar{K}$. Then the formula:

$$ (w_i - w_j, w_k)_a = -\frac{1}{2} \log |\ell_{ijk}| $$

holds.

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 3.1 to the morphism $X \otimes \bar{K} \to \text{Spec}(\bar{K})$. Let $g$ be the genus of $X$. Under the isomorphism $\psi_{ijk}$ the sections $q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk}$ and $(-\ell_{ijk})^{g-1}$ are identified, and by Proposition 2.1 the isomorphism $\psi_{ijk}$ is an admissible isometry. Let $|\cdot|_a$ be the admissible norm on $-Q_{ik} + Q_{jk}$ on $\text{Spec}(\bar{K})$. We obtain:

$$ 2g(g - 1) \log |\ell_{ijk}| = \log |q_{ik}^{-1} \otimes q_{jk}|_a $$

$$ = -4g(g - 1)(w_i - w_j, w_k)_a , $$

and the theorem follows.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let $w_i, w_j, w_k, w_r$ be four distinct Weierstrass points on $X \otimes \bar{K}$. Let $\mu_{ijkr}$ in $\bar{K}$ be the cross-ratio on $w_i, w_j, w_k, w_r$. Then the formula:

$$ (w_i - w_j, w_k - w_r)_a = -\frac{1}{2} \log |\mu_{ijkr}| $$

holds.

**Proof.** This follows directly from the identity:

$$ \frac{\ell_{ijk}}{\ell_{ijr}} = \frac{a_i - a_k}{a_j - a_k} \cdot \frac{a_j - a_r}{a_i - a_r} = \mu_{ijkr} $$

which is easily checked.

**Remark 3.6.** In the case that $K = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ the admissible pairing is given by the Arakelov-Green’s function $G$ of the compact Riemann surface $X(\bar{K})$. Theorem 3.4 translates into the remarkable formula:

$$ \frac{G(w_i, w_k)}{G(w_j, w_k)} = |\ell_{ijk}|. $$

It would be interesting to see if one could give a direct proof of this formula that does not use moduli spaces.
4. The invariant $\chi$

In this section we review the definition of the $\chi$-invariant as given in the Introduction, making precise the details. In the next section we present a more intrinsic approach to $\chi$, using the arithmetic of symmetric roots.

Let $\pi: X \to S$ be an arbitrary generically smooth semistable hyperelliptic curve of genus $g$ with $S$ an integral scheme. Let $\omega$ be the relative dualising sheaf of $\pi$, and let $\lambda = \det R\pi_*\omega$ be the Hodge bundle on $S$. As is explained in [11, Section 2], the line bundle $(8g + 4)\lambda$ has a canonical non-zero rational section $\Lambda_g$, satisfying the following properties. We write $\mathcal{I}_g$ for the moduli stack of smooth hyperelliptic curves of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{Z}$.

- the formation of $\Lambda_g$ is compatible with dominant base change;
- if $S$ is normal, then $\Lambda_g$ is global;
- if $\pi$ is smooth, then $\Lambda_g$ is global and nowhere vanishing;
- if $S$ is the spectrum of a field $K$ which is not of characteristic 2, and $y^2 + p(x)y = q(x)$ is an affine equation of $X$ with $p, q \in K[x]$, one has:

$$\Lambda_g = (2^{-(4g+4)} \cdot D)^g \cdot \left( \frac{dx}{2y + p} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{2y + p} \right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}_g},$$

where $D$ is the discriminant of the separable polynomial $p^2 + 4q \in K[x]$;
- let $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_g$ be the stack-theoretic closure of $\mathcal{I}_g$ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, the moduli stack of stable curves of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then on $S$, the rational section $\Lambda_g$ can be obtained as the pullback of a rational section $\Lambda_g$ of $(8g + 4)\lambda$ on $\overline{\mathcal{I}}_g$.

Now assume that $S = \text{Spec}(K)$ where $K$ is either a complete discrete valuation field or $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Note that we put no restrictions, at this stage, on the characteristic of $K$. The section $\Lambda_g$ gives rise to a real-valued invariant $d(X)$ associated to $X$, as follows. If $K$ is non-archimedean, let $X \to \text{Spec}(R)$ be the minimal regular model of $X$, where $R$ is the valuation ring of $K$. Then $d(X)$ is the order of vanishing of $\Lambda_g$ along the closed point of $S$. If $K$ is archimedean, the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $H^0(X(\mathbb{C}), \omega)$ is equipped with a natural hermitian inner product $(\omega, \eta) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_{X(\mathbb{C})} \omega \cdot \eta$, and $d(X)$ is the $-\log$ of the norm of $\Lambda_g$ with respect to this inner product.

One can give explicit formulas for $d(X)$. Start again with the case that $K$ is a complete discrete valuation field. Assume that $X$ has semistable reduction over $K$, and let as above $X \to \text{Spec}(R)$ be the regular minimal model of $X$. Let $x$ be a singular point in the special fiber of $X$. We say that $x$ is of type 0 if the local normalisation of the special fiber at $x$ is connected, and that $x$ is of type $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$, if the local normalisation of the special fiber of $X$ at $x$ is the disjoint union of $i$ semistable curves of genus $g$ and $g-i$. Let $x$ be a singular point of type 0. Let $\sigma$ be the hyperelliptic involution of $X$. We have the following two possibilities for $x$:

- $x$ is fixed by $\sigma$. Then we say $x$ is of subtype 0;
- $x$ is not fixed by $\sigma$. Then the local normalisation of the special fiber of $X$ at $\{x, \sigma(x)\}$ consists of two connected components of genus $j$ and $g-j-1$, say, where $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor (g-1)/2 \rfloor$. In this case we say that $x$ is of subtype $j$.

Let $\delta_i(X)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor g/2 \rfloor$ be the number of singular points in the special fiber of $X$ of type $i$, let $\xi_0(X)$ be the number of singular points of subtype 0, and let $\xi_j(X)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \lfloor (g-1)/2 \rfloor$ be the number of pairs of nodes of subtype $j$. Then the following equality holds, proved in increasing order of generality by M. Cornalba
and J. Harris, I. Kausz, and K. Yamaki [15]:

\begin{equation}
(4.1) \quad d(X) = g\xi_0(X) + \sum_{j=1}^{[(g-1)/2]} 2(j+1)(g-j)\xi_j(X) + \sum_{i=1}^{[g/2]} 4i(g-i)\delta_i(X).
\end{equation}

If \( K \) equals \( \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \) then \( d(X) \) can be related to a product of Thetanullwerte, as explained in [5, Section 8]. Let \( \tau \) in the Siegel upper half space be a normalised period matrix for \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) formed on a canonical symplectic basis of \( H_1(X(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}) \).

Let \( \varphi_g \) be the level 2 Siegel modular form from [9, Definition 3.1] and put:

\[ \Delta_g = 2^{-(4g+4)n} \varphi_g, \]

where \( n = \left( \frac{2g}{g+1} \right) \). Then the real number \( \|\Delta_g\|(X) = (\det \text{Im}\tau)^{2r}|\Delta_g(\tau)| \) is independent of the choice of \( \tau \), where \( r = \left( \frac{2g+1}{g+1} \right) \), hence defines an invariant of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \).

It follows from [9, Proposition 3.2] that the formula:

\begin{equation}
(4.2) \quad nd(X) = -n \log \|\Delta_g\| = -4g^2r \log(2\pi) - g \log \|\Delta_g\|(X)
\end{equation}

holds.

The invariant \( \chi(X) \) of \( X \) is determined by \( d(X) \) and the invariants \( \varepsilon(X) \) and \( \delta(X) \) which we discuss next. The invariant \( \varepsilon(X) \) stems from [17] and is defined as follows. Let \( K \) again be non-archimedean. We keep the assumption that \( X \) has semistable reduction over \( K \). Let \( R(X) \) be the reduction graph of \( X \), let \( \mu_X \) be the admissible measure on \( R(X) \), let \( K_X \) be the canonical divisor on \( R(X) \), and let \( g_X \) be the admissible Green’s function on \( R(X) \).

Then:

\[ \varepsilon(X) = \int_{R(X)} g_X(x,x)((2g-2)\mu_X + \delta_{K_X}). \]

If \( K \) is archimedean, one simply puts \( \varepsilon(X) = 0 \).

As to \( \delta(X) \), for \( K \) non-archimedean we put \( \delta(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{[g/2]} \delta_i(X) \), the number of singular points on the special fiber of the minimal regular model of \( X \) over \( K \); if \( K \) equals \( \mathbb{R} \) or \( \mathbb{C} \) we put \( \delta(X) = -4g \log(2\pi) + \delta_F(X) \) where \( \delta_F(X) \) is the Faltings delta-invariant of \( X(\mathbb{C}) \) defined on [3, p. 402].

The invariant \( \chi(X) \) is determined by the following equality:

\begin{equation}
(4.3) \quad (2g-2)\chi(X) = 3d(X) - (2g+1)(\varepsilon(X) + \delta(X)).
\end{equation}

Let \( K \) be non-archimedean. It is clear that \( \chi(X) = 0 \) if \( X \) has good reduction, since each of \( d, \varepsilon \) and \( \delta \) vanishes in this case.

From [13] one can calculate \( \chi(X) \) in the case that \( g = 2 \), based on the classification of the semistable fiber types in genus 2. We display the results in a table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>( d/2 )</th>
<th>( \delta )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon )</th>
<th>( \chi )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II(a)</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III(a)</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{6}a )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{12}a )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV(a, b)</td>
<td>2a + b</td>
<td>a + b</td>
<td>a + ( \frac{1}{6}b )</td>
<td>a + ( \frac{1}{12}b )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V(a, b)</td>
<td>a + b</td>
<td>a + b</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{6}(a + b) )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{12}(a + b) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI(a, b, c)</td>
<td>2a + b + c</td>
<td>a + b + c</td>
<td>a + ( \frac{1}{6}(b + c) )</td>
<td>a + ( \frac{1}{12}(b + c) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII(a, b, c)</td>
<td>a + b + c</td>
<td>a + b + c</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{6}(a + b + c) ) + ( \frac{1}{6}\frac{abc}{ab+bc+ca} )</td>
<td>( \frac{1}{12}(a + b + c) - \frac{5}{12}\frac{abc}{ab+bc+ca} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the case \( g \geq 3 \) one has an effective lower bound for \( \chi(X) \) which is strictly positive in the case of non-smooth reduction, by work of Yamaki \([16]\). We quote his result:

\[ \chi(X) \geq \frac{(2g-5)}{24g} \zeta_0(X) + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor (g-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{3j(g-1-j) - g - 2}{3g} \xi_j(X) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} \frac{2i(g-i)}{g} \delta_i(X) \]

if \( g \geq 5 \), and:

\[ \chi(X) \geq \frac{(2g-5)}{24g} \zeta_0(X) + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor (g-1)/2 \rfloor} \frac{2j(g-1-j) - 1}{2g} \xi_j(X) + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} \frac{2i(g-i)}{g} \delta_i(X) \]

for the cases \( g = 3, 4 \). The most difficult part of the proof lies in obtaining suitable upper bounds for the \( \varepsilon \)-invariant ranging over the reduction graphs of hyperelliptic curves of a fixed genus using combinatorial optimisation.

If \( K \) is archimedean, one easily gets an exact formula for \( \chi(X) \) from (4.2) and (4.3). We state the result for completeness:

\[ \chi(X) = -8g(2g+1) \log(2\pi) - \frac{3g}{(2g-2)n} \log \| \Delta_g \|(X) - \frac{2g+1}{2g-2} \delta_F(X) . \]

If \( K \) is a number field or the function field of a curve over a field, and \( X \) has semistable reduction over \( K \), one has the following global formulas involving \( d, \varepsilon, \delta \) and the places \( v \) of \( K \). Let \( N_v \) be the usual local factors at \( v \). Then first of all:

\[ (8g + 4) \deg \det R\pi_*\omega = \sum_v d(X_v) \log N_v , \]

where \( \deg \det R\pi_*\omega \) is the geometric degree of \( \det R\pi_*\omega \) in the function field case, and the non-normalised Faltings height of \( X \) in the number field case. This follows directly from the definition of \( d \). Next one has:

\[ (\omega, \omega)_a = (\omega, \omega) - \sum_v \varepsilon(X_v) \log N_v \]

by [18, Theorem 4.4], where \( (\omega, \omega)_a \) and \( (\omega, \omega) \) are the admissible and usual self-intersections of the relative dualising sheaf of \( X \) over \( K \), respectively. Finally:

\[ 12 \deg \det R\pi_*\omega = (\omega, \omega) + \sum_v \delta(X_v) \log N_v \]

which is the Noether formula for \( X \) over \( S \), cf. [12, Théorème 2.5] for the number field case.

We easily find the formula:

\[ (\omega, \omega)_a = \frac{2g-2}{2g+1} \sum_v \chi(X_v) \log N_v \]

expressing \( (\omega, \omega)_a \) in terms of the \( \chi(X_v) \). From the results of Moriwaki and Yamaki mentioned above one gets an effective version of the Bogomolov conjecture for \( X \), if \( K \) is a function field.
5. Intrinsic approach to $\chi$

In this section we provide an alternative approach to $\chi$. We construct a canonical non-zero rational section $q$ of the line bundle $(2g + 1)(\omega, \omega)$ on the base of a generically smooth semistable hyperelliptic curve of genus $g$, and show that $\chi$ is essentially the $-\log$ of the admissible norm of $q$.

A crucial ingredient of our approach is the arithmetic of the symmetric discriminants of a hyperelliptic curve. These are intimately related to the curve’s symmetric roots, see [4, Section 2] for a discussion. The definition is as follows. Let $\kappa$ be a field not of characteristic 2 and let $X$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $\kappa$. Let $\bar{\kappa}$ be a separable algebraic closure of $\kappa$, and let $w_1, \ldots, w_{2g+2}$ be the Weierstrass points of $X \otimes \bar{\kappa}$. Let $(w_i, w_j)$ be a pair of these. We have well-defined sets of symmetric roots $\{\ell_{ijk}\}_{k \neq i,j}$ in $\bar{\kappa}$ associated to $i, j$, parametrised by the elements $\zeta$ of $\mu_{2g}$. The $\zeta$’s give rise to a set of symmetric equations:

$$C_{ij}^\zeta = x \prod_{k \neq i,j} (x - \ell_{ijk})$$

for $X$ over $\bar{\kappa}$, parametrised by $\zeta$. The discriminant:

$$d_{ij} = \prod_{r, s \neq i,j} (\ell_{ir} - \ell_{js})$$

of a $C_{ij}^\zeta$ is independent of the choice of $\zeta$ and is called the symmetric discriminant of the pair $(w_i, w_j)$. It is a well-defined element of the field of definition inside $\bar{\kappa}$ of $(w_i, w_j)$. A small calculation shows that the formula:

$$\frac{d_{ik}}{d_{jk}} = -\ell_{ijk}^{2g(2g+1)}$$

holds in $\bar{\kappa}$ for all $k \neq i, j$, allowing us to compute suitable powers of the symmetric roots of $X$ from the symmetric discriminants of $X$.

We start our construction of the rational section $q$ with the case of a smooth hyperelliptic curve $\pi : X \to S$ of genus $g$ where $S$ is a scheme whose generic points are not of characteristic 2. By [10, Proposition 7.3] there exists a faithfully flat morphism $S' \to S$ such that the smooth hyperelliptic curve $X \times_SS' \to S'$ has $2g + 2$ sections $W_1, \ldots, W_{2g+2}$ invariant for the hyperelliptic involution. Let $(W_t, W_k)$ be a pair of these. As we saw in Section 2 there exists a line bundle $Q_{tk}$ on $S'$ associated to $(W_t, W_k)$ together with a canonical non-zero rational section $q_{tk}$ of $Q_{tk}$. We can and will view $q_{tk}$ as a rational section of $(\omega, \omega)$ on $S'$, by Proposition 2.1.

Let $d_{ik}$ be the symmetric discriminant of $(W_t, W_k)$, viewed as a rational function on $S'$. We define:

$$q = (2^g d_{ik})^{g-1} \cdot q_{tk}^{2g+1},$$

viewed as a rational section of $(2g + 1)(\omega, \omega)$ on $S'$.

**Lemma 5.1.** The rational section $q$ of $(2g + 1)(\omega, \omega)$ is independent of the choice of $(W_t, W_k)$, and descends to a canonical rational section of $(2g + 1)(\omega, \omega)$ on $S$.

**Proof.** To see this, first fix an index $k$ and consider the sections $(2^g d_{ik})^{g-1} \cdot q_{ik}^{2g+1}$ and $(2^g d_{jk})^{g-1} \cdot q_{jk}^{2g+1}$ for $i, j \neq k$. According to equation (5.1) we have:

$$\frac{d_{ik}}{d_{jk}} = -\ell_{ijk}^{2g(2g+1)}.$$
whereas by Theorem 3.1 we have:

\[ q_{ik}^{1} \otimes q_{jk} = (-i_{d_{ik}}^{2g})g^{-1}. \]

It follows that the \((2^{4g}d_{ik})^{g^{-1}} \cdot q_{ik}^{2g+1}\) are mutually equal, where \(i\) runs over the indices different from \(k\). By symmetry considerations we can vary \(k\) as well and the independence of \(q\) on the choice of \((i,k)\) follows. By faithfully flat descent, see [14, Exposé VIII, Théorème 1.1], we obtain that \(q\) comes from the base \(S\). □

Let again \(\mc{I}_g\) be the moduli stack of smooth hyperelliptic curves of genus \(g\) over \(\mathbb{Z}\). By Lemma 5.1 we have \(q\) as a canonical rational section of the line bundle \((2^{g+1})\langle \omega, \omega \rangle\) on \(\mc{I}_g\), and by pullback we obtain \(q\) on the base of any smooth hyperelliptic curve. Even better, by extension we get \(q\) as a rational section on the base of any generically smooth hyperelliptic curve. We isolate this result in a theorem. Let \(S\) be an integral scheme, let \(\pi : X \to S\) be a generically smooth hyperelliptic curve of genus \(g \geq 2\) over \(S\), and let \(\omega\) be the relative dualising sheaf of \(\pi\).

**Theorem 5.2.** The line bundle \((2^{g+1})\langle \omega, \omega \rangle\) on \(S\) has a canonical rational section \(q\). If \(S\) does not have generic characteristic equal to 2, then \(q\) is given by equation (5.2). The formation of \(q\) is compatible with dominant base change.

The next result yields Theorems A and B as an immediate consequence.

**Theorem 5.3.** Assume that \(S\) is a normal integral scheme.

(i) the rational section \(q\) of \((2g+1)\langle \omega, \omega \rangle\) is in fact a global section, with no zeroes if \(\pi\) is smooth.

Let \(K\) be either a complete discrete valuation field or let \(K\) equal \(\mathbb{R}\) or \(\mathbb{C}\). Let \(\bar{K}\) be an algebraic closure of \(K\) and assume that \(S = \text{Spec}(\bar{K})\). Let \(|\cdot|_a\) be the admissible norm on \((2^{g+1})\langle \omega, \omega \rangle\). Then:

(ii) the formula:

\[ -\log |q|_a = (2g - 2)\chi(X) \]

holds;

(iii) if \(K\) does not have characteristic 2, and \(w_1, \ldots, w_{2g+2}\) on \(X \otimes \bar{K}\) are the Weierstrass points of \(X\), then the formula:

\[ -\log |q|_a = -4g(g - 1) \left( \log 2 + \sum_{k \neq i} (w_i, w_k)_a \right) \]

holds, for all \(i = 1, \ldots, 2g+2\), where \((,)_a\) denotes Zhang's admissible pairing on \(\text{Div}(X \otimes K)\).

**Proof.** To prove that \(q\) has no zeroes if \(\pi\) is smooth, note that it suffices to prove this in the case of the tautological curve over \(\mc{I}_g\). We have that \(\mc{I}_g\) is normal, as \(\mc{I}_g \to \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})\) is smooth by [8, Theorem 3]. Thus it is sufficient to prove the statement for the case of a smooth hyperelliptic curve \(\pi : X \to S\) where \(S\) is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring \(R\) of characteristic zero. We can and will assume that all Weierstrass points of the generic fiber of \(\pi\) are rational, hence extend to sections \(W_1, \ldots, W_{2g+2}\) of \(\pi\). Let \(\nu(\cdot)\) denote order of vanishing along the closed point of \(S\), and fix an index \(i\). It is not hard to check the formula
\[ \prod_{k \neq i} d_{ik} = 1 \] for the symmetric discriminants. This gives us, directly from the definition of \( q \):

\[ \nu(q) = 4g(g - 1)\nu(2) + \sum_{k \neq i} \nu(q_{ik}) . \]

Hence:

\[ \nu(q) = 4g(g - 1) \left( \nu(2) - \sum_{k \neq i} (W_i, W_k) \right) , \]

where \((,\) denotes intersection product on \( \text{Div}(X) \). Note that the \( V \)'s are empty. If the residue characteristic of \( R \) is not equal to 2 we immediately obtain the vanishing of \( \nu(q) \) since \( W_i \) is disjoint from each \( W_k \). So assume that the residue characteristic of \( R \) is equal to 2. It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.2 that:

\[ 2 \sum_{k \neq i} (W_i, W_k) = \nu(4) . \]

We see that \( \nu(q) \) vanishes in this case as well. This proves the second half of (i).

Now let as above \( \lambda = \det R_{\pi} \omega \) be the Hodge bundle on \( \mathcal{I}_g \), the stack-theoretic closure of \( \mathcal{I}_g \) in \( \mathcal{M}_g \), and let \( \delta \) be the line bundle associated to the restriction of the boundary divisor of \( \mathcal{M}_g \) to \( \mathcal{I}_g \). By [12, Théorème 2.1] there exists an isomorphism:

\[ \mu: 3(8g + 4)\lambda - (2g + 1)\delta \sim (2g + 1)\langle \omega, \omega \rangle \]

of line bundles on \( \mathcal{I}_g \). On the left hand side one has a canonical non-zero rational section \( \Lambda_g \otimes 3 \delta \otimes - (2g + 1) \), and on the right hand side one has the canonical rational section \( q \). We claim that under \( \mu \), these two rational sections are identified, up to a sign. Indeed, the rational section \( \Lambda_g \otimes 3 \delta \otimes - (2g + 1) \) restricts to the global section \( \Lambda_g \otimes 3 \delta \otimes - (2g + 1) \) of \( 3(8g + 4)\lambda \) over \( \mathcal{I}_g \) which is nowhere vanishing. By the second half of (i) we have that \( q \) is nowhere vanishing as well, and hence, over \( \mathcal{I}_g \), the image of \( \Lambda_g \otimes 3 \delta \otimes - (2g + 1) \) under \( \mu \) differs from \( q \) by an invertible regular function. It is stated in [5, Lemma 7.3] that such a function is either \(+1\) or \(-1\). The claim follows.

The first half of (i) and statement (ii) follow from this fact that \( \Lambda_g \otimes 3 \delta \otimes - (2g + 1) \) and \( q \) are identified, up to sign. As to the first half of statement (i), from the Cornalba-Harris equality (4.1) we see that, if \( S \) is normal, the rational section \( \Lambda_g \otimes 3 \delta \otimes - (2g + 1) \) of \( 3(8g + 4)\lambda - (2g + 1)\delta \) is in fact global, as it gives rise to an effective Cartier divisor on \( S \). It follows that \( q \) is global too.

As to (ii), first take \( K \) to be a complete discrete valuation field. We may assume that \( X \) has semistable reduction over \( K \). Let \( R \) be the valuation ring of \( K \) and let \( X \to \text{Spec}(R) \) be the regular minimal model of \( X \). As above we denote by \( \nu(\cdot) \) order of vanishing along the closed point of \( \text{Spec}(R) \). We have:

\[ \nu(q) = 3\nu(\Lambda_q) - (2g + 1)\nu(\delta) = 3d(X) - (2g + 1)\delta(X) . \]

On the other hand, by [17, Theorem 4.4] we have:

\[ \nu(q) = - \log |q|_a + (2g + 1)\varepsilon(X) , \]

and indeed the formula:

\[ - \log |q|_a = (2g - 2)\chi(X) \]

drops out.
Next let $K$ be equal to $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. By [12, Théorème 2.2] the isomorphism $\mu$, restricted to $\text{Spec}(\overline{K})$, has admissible norm $c_{(2g+1)\delta(X)}$. It follows that:
\[-\log |q|_a = -3 \log \|\Lambda_g\|_u(X) - (2g + 1)\delta(X) = (2g - 2)\chi(X)\]
in this case as well.

The formula in (iii) follows directly from the definition of $q$. Fix an index $i$. Under the assumptions of (iii) we have:
\[-\log |q|_a = -\log |(2^{2g}d_{ik})^{g-1} \cdot q_{ik}^{2g+1}|_a\]
for all $k \neq i$, hence, using the identity $\prod_{k \neq i} d_{ik} = 1$ once more:
\[-\log |q|_a = -4g(g - 1)\log |2| - \sum_{k \neq i} \log |q_{ik}|_a .\]
We find:
\[-\log |q|_a = -4g(g - 1) \left( \log |2| + \sum_{k \neq i} (w_i, w_k)_a \right)\]
as required. \hfill \Box

Remark 5.4. Let $K$ be a number field or the function field of a curve over a field which is not of characteristic 2. Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic curve over $K$ of genus $g \geq 2$. Assume that $X$ has semistable reduction over $K$, and that all Weierstrass points $w_1, \ldots, w_{2g+2}$ of $X$ are rational over $K$. Let $(\omega, \omega)_a$ be the admissible self-intersection of the relative dualising sheaf of $X$, and fix an index $i$. From (iii) of the above theorem we obtain, by summing over all places of $K$, that:
\[(2g + 1)(\omega, \omega)_a = -4g(g - 1) \sum_{k \neq i} (w_i, w_k)_a ,\]
where $(w_i, w_k)_a$ is now the global admissible pairing of $w_i, w_k$ on $X$. We note that from the fact that the global $(\cdot, \cdot)_a$ restricts to minus the Néron-Tate pairing on degree-0 divisors [17, Section 5.4] one may actually infer the stronger identity:
\[(\omega, \omega)_a = -4g(g - 1)(w_i, w_k)_a \]
for any two indices $i, k$. It is not true, however, that the local intersections $(w_i, w_k)_a$ are in general independent of the choice of $i, k$.

Remark 5.5. The combinatorial optimisation methods used in [16] to prove effective lower bounds for $\chi$ in the non-archimedean case are quite complicated. It would be interesting to see whether the simple formula from Theorem B could be used to obtain good lower bounds for $\chi$ in an easier fashion. Note that the global section $q$ in the function field case in some sense “explains” the strict positivity of $(\omega, \omega)_a$ for non-isotrivial hyperelliptic fibrations.

Remark 5.6. Let $K$ be the fraction field of a discrete valuation ring $R$ of residue characteristic not equal to 2, and fix a separable algebraic closure $\overline{K}$ of $K$. The symmetric equations $C_{ij}^k$ of a hyperelliptic curve $X$ over $K$ have good properties, for example:

- if $X$ has potentially good reduction over $R$ then the coefficients of $C_{ij}^k$ in $K$ are integral over $R$, and $C_{ij}^k$ has good reduction over $R[C_{ij}^k]$;
• if the coefficients of $C_{ij}^\zeta$ in $\bar{K}$ are integral over $R$, then $C_{ij}^\zeta$ is a minimal equation of $X$ over $R[C_{ij}^\zeta]$. For details and proofs of these facts we refer to [4, Section 2].

6. Connection with Zhang’s $\varphi$-invariant

Let $K$ be a field which is either a number field or the function field of a curve over a field. Let $X$ be a smooth projective geometrically connected curve of genus $g \geq 2$ over $K$, and assume that $X$ has semistable reduction over $K$. In a recent paper [18] S.-W. Zhang introduced, for each place $v$ of $K$, a real-valued invariant $\varphi(X_v)$ of $X \otimes K_v$, as follows:

- if $v$ is a non-archimedean place, then:
  
  $$\varphi(X_v) = -\frac{1}{4}\delta(X_v) + \frac{1}{4}\int_{R(X_v)} g_v(x, x)((10g + 2)\mu_v - \delta_{K_\bar{K}v}),$$

  where:
  - $\delta(X_v)$ is the number of singular points on the special fiber of $X$ at $v$,
  - $R(X_v)$ is the reduction graph of $X$ at $v$,
  - $g_v$ is the Green’s function for the admissible metric $\mu_v$ on $R(X_v)$,
  - $K_\bar{K}v$ is the canonical divisor on $R(X_v)$.

In particular, $\varphi(X_v) = 0$ if $X$ has good reduction at $v$;

- if $v$ is an archimedean place, then:
  
  $$\varphi(X_v) = \sum_{\ell} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{g} \left| \int_{X(K_v)} \phi_{\ell} \omega_m \bar{\omega}_n \right|^2,$$

  where $\phi_{\ell}$ are the non-constant normalised real eigenforms of the Arakelov Laplacian on the compact Riemann surface $X(K_v)$, with eigenvalues $\lambda_\ell > 0$, and $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_g)$ is an orthonormal basis for the hermitian inner product $(\omega, \eta) \mapsto \frac{i}{2} \int_{X(K_v)} \omega \bar{\eta}$ on the space of holomorphic differentials.

The adelic invariant $\varphi$ connects the admissible self-intersection of the relative dualising sheaf of $X$ and the height of the canonical Gross-Schoen cycle on $X^3$.

**Theorem 6.1.** (Zhang [18]) Let $(\omega, \omega)_a$ be the admissible self-intersection of the relative dualising sheaf of $X$, and let $(\Delta_\xi, \Delta_\xi)$ be the height of the canonical Gross-Schoen cycle on $X^3$. Then:

$$ (\omega, \omega)_a = 2g - 2 \left( \frac{1}{2g+1} \sum_v \varphi(X_v) \log N_v \right), $$

where $v$ runs over the places of $K$. Here the $N_v$ are the usual local factors connected with the product formula for $K$. If $X$ is hyperelliptic, then $(\Delta_\xi, \Delta_\xi) = 0$, and hence the formula:

$$ (\omega, \omega)_a = 2g - 2 \sum_v \varphi(X_v) \log N_v $$

holds.

The invariant $\varphi$ arises in a natural way as an adelic intersection number on the self-product $X^3$ of $X$, cf. [18, Theorem 2.5.1]. Note the striking resemblance of
φ with χ, for hyperelliptic curves: like φ, the invariant χ vanishes at each non-
archimedean place of good reduction, and one has (6.1) with χ instead of φ, see 
formula (4.4).

The canonical nature of both φ and χ leads us to make the following:

**Conjecture 6.2.** Assume that X is hyperelliptic. Then φ(X_v) = χ(X_v) for each 
place v of K.

This conjecture has the following interesting corollary. Assume that K is a 
number field. Let π: X → S be the regular minimal model of X over the ring of 
integers of K. Then π is a generically smooth semistable hyperelliptic curve. By 
Theorem 5.3(i) we have q as a canonical global section of the bundle (2g + 1)(ω, ω) 
on S, and by (ii) of the same theorem (2g − 2)χ(X_v) = − log |q|_v at each place v 
of K. The latter quantity is, as we have seen from the results of Moriwaki and 
Yamaki, non-negative if v is non-archimedean.

Consider now the case that v is an archimedean place. It is clear from the 
definition of φ given above that φ(X_v) ≥ 0. But in fact one has φ(X_v) > 0, as is 
explained in [18, Remark 2.5.1]. So, if φ(X_v) = χ(X_v) would hold, it follows that 
− log |q|_v is positive on the archimedean places. In other words, the section q is 
a small section of (2g + 1)(ω, ω). The Bogomolov conjecture, i.e. the statement 
that (ω, ω)_v is strictly positive, would follow in a conceptual way by taking the 
admissible Arakelov degree of q.

In [6] we computed φ in the case that g = 2. A comparison of the outcome with 
the results mentioned in Section 4 above yields that Conjecture 6.2 is true if g = 2. 
Thus we have an alternative proof of the Bogomolov conjecture for genus 2 curves 
over number fields.

**References**

(1979), no. 1, 83–111.
Univ. 36 (1996), no. 4, 687–695.


Address of the author:

Robin de Jong
Mathematical Institute
University of Leiden
PO Box 9512
2300 RA Leiden
The Netherlands
Email: rdejong@math.leidenuniv.nl